

**State of Missouri
Department of Public Safety
Office of the Director
Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Unit**



**Edward Byrne Memorial Justice
Assistance Grant (JAG) and
Recovery-JAG Programs**

FY12 State Annual Report

FOREWORD

On behalf of the State of Missouri and the Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, it is my pleasure to present the FY12 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) and Recovery-JAG Program Annual Report. Since 1987, the Byrne/JAG Program has been an essential resource in our continuing effort to meet the public safety needs of our state criminal justice community. The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to assisting criminal justice agencies in making Missouri a safer place. The JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs make it possible for Missouri to aggressively address the many public safety issues associated with illicit drugs and violent crime.

The FY12 JAG and Recovery-JAG Program Annual Report is a comprehensive evaluation of state- and local-level projects that have received financial assistance through the JAG and/or Recovery-JAG Program. During this reporting period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, the Missouri Department of Public Safety - Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program provided grant awards to the following: 35 projects under the 2011 JAG Solicitation, 104 projects under the 2012 LLEBG Solicitation, 27 projects under the 2011 Recovery-JAG Solicitation, and 14 projects under the Recovery-MJCCG/JAG Solicitation.

Financial assistance was provided to projects supporting Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Drug Treatment and Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement. By supporting the award of the JAG and Recovery-JAG Program money for projects within these purpose areas, we best serve the citizens of Missouri.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to our vision, “By embracing the challenges of the future, the Department of Public Safety and the law enforcement community working together will provide the protection and service to create a quality of life in which all people feel safe and secure.” The JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs help us realize this vision.

Jerry Lee, Director
Missouri Department of Public Safety

Missouri Department of Public Safety

Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program

FY12 State Annual Report July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012

Foreword	2
Acknowledgements	4
Executive Summary	5
Section I - Introduction	
Program Overview.....	6
FY12 Summary.....	6
FY12 Coordinating Program.....	9
FY13 Summary.....	10
Section II - FY13 Summary of Programs, Performance Measures, Evaluation Methods and Evaluation Results	
Purpose Area: Law Enforcement.....	12
Purpose Area: Prosecution and Court.....	19
Purpose Area: Prevention and Education.....	22
Purpose Area: Planning, Evaluation, and Technology.....	25
Section III - FY12 Evaluation and Activities	
Law Enforcement Programs.....	31
Prosecution and Court Programs.....	42
Prevention and Education Programs	43
Corrections and Community Corrections Program.....	44
Drug Treatment Programs.....	45
Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs.....	46
Crime Victim and Witness Programs.....	48
Crime Laboratory Projects.....	49
Section IV - Supplemental Information and Documentation	
Attachment A: Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces FY12 Summary Report.....	53
Attachment B: Crime Laboratories - MCLUP Projects FY12 Summary Report.....	168
Attachment C: Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces FY12 Summary Report.....	190

Acknowledgements

Governor Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon

Director Jerry Lee

Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director

Deputy Director Andrea Spillars

Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director

Eric E. Shepherd, Program Manager

Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement

Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program Staff

Lisa Geiser, Program Specialist-DoD

Heather Haslag, Program Specialist

Sarah Verhoff, Program Representative

Nancy Capps, Program Representative

Joan Dudenhoeffer, Part-Time Clerical Support

Jason Miller, Part-Time Aid-DoD

Debbie Sparks, Part-Time Clerk - DoD

Missouri State Highway Patrol, Statistical Analysis Center Staff

Ron Beck

Chelse Dowell

The Missouri Department of Public Safety wishes to extend its appreciation to the Criminal Justice Agencies that provided the information included in this report.

Grant #2010-DJ-BX-0066 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice provided funding for this report.

Researched and prepared by:

The Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program staff and members of the
Statistical Analysis Center - Missouri State Highway Patrol

November 2012

Executive Summary

In 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety initiated an administrative section within the Office of the Director, whose primary responsibility was to oversee and coordinate the dissemination of federal funding awards made to Missouri. This administrative section was implemented and titled as the Narcotics Assistance Control Program (NCAP) in response to the establishment of the federal Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant (Byrne) and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Grant (LLEBG) Programs authorized by Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 3711 et seq. Additionally, the furtherance of the overall mission of the Missouri Department of Public Safety, as defined in Chapter 650 of the Missouri Revised Statutes, became and continues to be the directive for the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program. That mission is to provide a safe and secure environment for all individuals, through efficient and effective law enforcement.

Since the initial implementation, the NCAP has been renamed the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Program and the Byrne and LLEBG Programs have been consolidated into one program titled the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program.

Throughout the years, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS), through the CJ/LE Program, has been involved in an on-going effort to identify the criminal justice needs of state and local units of government. As a result of this process, the CJ/LE Program has provided the financial and technical assistance required to initiate state and local level responses to crime and drug related issues. This response, which parallels the established objectives of the JAG Program as outlined by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance, is the foundation for project initiatives within Missouri. It remains the priority of the CJ/LE Program to identify state and local initiatives which assist the state of Missouri in the enforcement of drug control or controlled substance laws, initiatives which emphasize the prevention and control of violent crime and serious offenders, and initiatives which improve the effectiveness of the state and local criminal justice system.

In compliance with section 522(a) of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act, the FY12 State Annual Report (SAR), will outline the impact of JAG and Recovery-JAG Program funding on the criminal justice system within the jurisdictions of state and local government. During the reporting period covered in this annual report, July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program provided funding assistance in five (5) authorized purpose areas. The total monetary award for this reporting period was \$18,065,582.20 for which the CJ/LE Program was able to provide financial assistance to 186 state and local level projects.

For FY13, the level of JAG and Recovery-JAG funding awarded, as of the date of this report, by the CJ/LE Program is providing financial assistance in the amount of \$6,608,586.35 to 74 state and local level projects.

The DPS - CJ/LE Program continues to be an essential component of the statewide effort to address violent crime and drugs. Through the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs, Missouri has the financial capability to maintain essential projects that provide needed services for the criminal justice community. In addition to the initiatives previously described, the CJ/LE Program places an equally high priority on the development and continuation of projects and partnerships that enhance a state or local unit of government's ability to implement aggressive responses to the public safety needs of their respective service areas. The CJ/LE Program strives to implement progressive demand reduction, community, multi-jurisdictional, judicial, correctional, analytical and informational-based response strategies to the public safety threats of crime and drugs.

I. INTRODUCTION

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program manages the distribution of federal funds provided to the State by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. The unit responsible for the management of these funds is the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Program. Since 1987, the JAG Program (formerly known as the Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant and Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Programs) provided criminal justice agencies with financial resources to confront drugs and violence. The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director is committed to assisting state and local efforts to make Missouri a safer place. Dealing with illicit drugs and violent crime head-on is critical to this effort and Federal grant monies make this possible.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety has undertaken a comprehensive approach to utilizing the JAG and Recovery-JAG dollars. Enforcement/interdiction, prevention/education, treatment, criminal litigation, improving criminal history records, and improving statewide illicit drug and violent crime data are the focus areas for the 2012/2013 funding year. By addressing these issues, we believe we can receive the most benefit for the citizens of Missouri.

Since the beginning of Byrne/JAG funding in 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS), CJ/LE Program, has developed a comprehensive strategic approach to the drug and violent crime problems facing Missouri. The current strategy has been designed as a strategic "road map" for the years of 2011 through 2012. The strategy developed by the DPS – CJ/LE Program, in conjunction with the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) of the Missouri State Highway Patrol, will provide the State of Missouri with a directional foundation for the next century.

The State of Missouri has, and will continue to build on past years' successes by supporting effective projects, which are committed to the overall objective of a safer Missouri. DPS will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of each state and local project receiving federal money, to ensure that the goals and objectives of each project are addressing the needs of Missouri citizens.

FY12 SUMMARY

Implementation of the 2011 / 2012 JAG funding year began with the review of project applications on March 23-24, 2011 by a grant review committee consisting of the DPS - CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Sixty-one (61) requests for funding were reviewed within the approved project categories as described below. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy were considered for funding. Thirty-five (35) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$6,141,304.75.

Thirty (30) requests for funding were received through the Recovery-JAG Program. Thirty (30) grant awards were made to local recipients in the amount of \$1,340,225.05.

Three (3) requests for funding were received through the State Recovery-JAG program. The three (3) grant awards were made to state recipients in the amount of \$8,310,752.39.

In addition, the DPS – CJ/LE Program continued funding through a program titled the Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Grant (MJCCG) created as a result of the availability of Recovery-JAG funds. A total of 16 requests for funding were received and a review committee consisting of DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector met on June 7, 2011. Fourteen (14) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$1,514,054.88.

Finally, 183 requests for funding were received through the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, which is money set aside from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program. A review committee consisting of DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice sector met on October 20-21, 2011 and awarded 104 projects for state and local recipients in the amount of \$ 759,245.13.

The FY12 program planning approach of the DPS – CJ/LE Program used statistical information obtained from various reporting entities throughout the State. This information not only aided in the identification of drug and crime related trends,

but also assisted in the evaluation of projects supported by the DPS – CJ/LE Program. Quarterly reports required of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces collected valuable information on their activities and workload as well as information on drug prevalence, enforcement, and distribution of drug industries in the state. Programs funded under other purpose areas provided information in semi-annual and annual progress reports that described their activities and successes. Detailed reports of success are provided in Section III of this report.

Following is a brief summary on each category funded through the DPS - CJ/LE Program during the 2011/2012 funding cycle.

Law Enforcement Programs

Funding for Law Enforcement projects was the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ/LE Program during funding year 2011/2012.

Under the 2011 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$5,517,789.80 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces \$18,750 to one (1) equipment project, and \$247,910.13 to one (1) multi-agency law enforcement group, for a total of \$5,784,449.93. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 99 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts.

Under the 2011 Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$1,315,709.27 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces as a supplement to their JAG award and \$24,515.78 to three (3) equipment projects, for a total of \$1,340,225.05.

Under the 2011 State Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$2,297,861.59 to one (1) equipment project and \$1,845,862.12 to one (1) law enforcement group, for a total of \$4,143,723.71.

Federal funding was awarded for the above-mentioned projects as multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter-drug enforcement efforts. During 2011/2012, the illicit drug methamphetamine continued to be a priority for an aggressive law enforcement strategy, designed to slow or halt the spread of this drug. As the scope of the methamphetamine problem extends beyond the capabilities of a single entity, many partnerships have been forged in response to this threat to public safety, public health and the sovereignty of our State's environment. The DPS – CJ/LE Program has placed great emphasis on the establishment of a comprehensive Memorandum of Understanding/Agreement between all partners of the multi-jurisdictional enforcement group so that a more comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations exist. Through local, state and federal collaborations and a continued aggressive response, we anticipate the rise in methamphetamine related activity to peak and eventually decline.

During the past three fiscal years, the following statistics were collected for the Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded by the DPS – CJ/LE Program in the State of Missouri. The following statistics are an example of the data collected through the Quarterly Progress Reports. More detailed information can be reviewed in Section III and IV of this report.

	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>
Arrested with one or more drug charges	5,556	7,141	7,792
Arrested with no drug charges	1,248	1,936	1,827
Total drug arrests	6,804	9,077	9,619
Search warrants served	1,208	1,134	1,188
Consent searches performed	3,776	2,903	3,480
Methamphetamine labs seized/destroyed:	1,449	1,593	1,709
New drug distribution Organizations identified:	112	-	-
Number of drug trafficking disputed/dismantle:	-	32	55
<u>OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED</u>	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>
Marijuana	177,414	232,006	190,604
Methamphetamine	1,895	2,089	37,294
Cocaine	3,235	4,318	4,566
Crack	192	121	54
Heroin	67	467	255
LSD	63	0.85	27
PCP	569	3	494
Ecstasy	3	7	18
Pseudoephedrine	519	1,955	49
Anhydrous Ammonia (gallons)	13,904	0	5,648
Other Drugs	501	779	6,614

Total value of all drugs seized:	\$38,039,219	\$41,450,744	\$54,643,359
<u>Doses of Drugs Seized</u>			
Ecstasy:	14,305	1,670	2,461
Pseudoephedrine / Ephedrine:	14,322	4,744	4,474
<u>Gallons of Drug Precursors Seized</u>			
Anhydrous Ammonia:	293	298	15
<u>Top Five Drug Arrest Charge Codes:</u>			
	<u>FY10</u>	<u>FY11</u>	<u>FY12</u>
	Poss/Marijuana	Sale/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine
	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Marijuana	Poss/Marijuana
	Sale/Methamphetamine	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Methamphetamine
	Sale/Marijuana	Sale/Marijuana	Poss/Paraphernalia
	Poss/Other	Poss/Paraphernalia	Sale/Marijuana

*The above statistical data is obtained from the Quarterly Reports submitted by the multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups receiving JAG (and Recovery-JAG) Program funding between July 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012.

Under the 2011 MJCCG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$1,514,054.88 to 14 multi-jurisdictional cyber task forces. The MJCCG Program continues funding to a previously funded state program. In December 2006, the State of Missouri appropriated state monies for the purpose of establishing the Internet Cyber Crime Grant (ICCG) program, which allowed for the funding of salaries of detectives and forensic personnel and training for those individuals whom worked directly with internet crimes relating to child pornography, enticement, solicitation, and other sex-related offenses. State funding was re-appropriated in FY09 but was not re-appropriated for FY10, FY11 or FY12. Therefore, in FY12, the State of Missouri, Department of Public Safety, allocated monies from the Recovery-JAG Program in order to retain the previously funded positions and to continue the enforcement and public training provided by the cyber crime units within the state. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 102 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts during the 2011 funding opportunity.

Under the 2012 LLEBG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$759,245.13 to 104 law enforcement agencies. The LLEBG Program is a vital funding mechanism for law enforcement. Short-term contracts are awarded from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program for purchase of basic law enforcement and officer safety equipment that will enable Missouri law enforcement to meet their local needs. Such items include, but are not limited to light bars, sirens, mobile and portable radios, flashlights, handcuffs, protective clothing, ballistic vests, car cages, in-car cameras, locks, and trauma kits.

Prosecution and Court Programs

Under the 2011 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded one (1) project for an award of \$33,168.21. These programs are designed to improve the criminal justice system’s response to domestic and family violence, including spouse abuse and child abuse.

Prevention and Education Programs

Under the 2011 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded two (2) projects for an award of \$205,705.16. This program is designed to provide DARE instruction and SRO presence in schools as well as to provide the proper supplies and reference materials to law enforcement officers and emergency personnel to help safely respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents and not harm the environment.

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No funding assistance was provided to this purpose area during the 2011/2012 funding cycle. Corrections Programs aim to supervise offenders and prepare them for return to their communities. Correctional agencies give inmates opportunities to develop life and work skills that will help their return be successful and are using treatment, work, education, and mental health programs to build these skills. Community-based-corrections are a criminal corrections option that provides an offender with sanctions, supervision, and treatment in a community setting instead of in prison.

Drug Treatment Programs

Under the 2011 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE program awarded one (1) project for an award of 26,331.35. Drug-treatment-programs identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent

offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy (such as counseling, cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy), medications, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs

Under the 2011 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded two (2) projects for an award of \$\$91,650.10. This program enhances the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner, and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. The project will continue to enhance the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner. This goal remains a top priority for the State of Missouri and this approved purpose area provides the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect the required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies are assisted with automated criminal justice reporting to the state central repository to ensure reports are timely, accurate and complete.

Under the 2011 State Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded one (1) project for an award of \$4,167,028.68. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. This project will assist in improving institutional security by ensuring compliance with FCC narrowband regulations, eliminating dead spots within correctional facilities, and promoting radio interoperability with outside law enforcement agencies.

Crime Victim and Witness Programs

No funding assistance was provided to this purpose area during the 2011/2012 funding cycle. Crime victim and victim-witness-programs are designed to provide victims, witnesses to crimes, and jurors with services while involved in the criminal justice system. As a victim, such programs are geared to help deal with feelings of confusion, frustration, fear, and anger and explain your rights as a victim or witness. Other activities include advocacy for victims who encounter difficulty accessing services or who believe their statutory or constitutional rights have been denied and notification and assistance to victims whose offender has the potential for parole.

FY12 COORDINATING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Department of Defense (DOD) 1033 Excess Property Program

During July 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012, there continued to be an increase in the number of agencies that have registered to participate in the DOD 1033 Excess Property Program (Program). The Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) continues to see an increase in the number of agencies that are processing requests compared to FY10. With the ever-increasing budget restraints and manpower shortages, the number of agencies utilizing the electronic screening process over the internet-based website for the Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA) Disposition Services Agency (DSA) is increasing as well. The cost of shipping equipment directly to their agency is by far cheaper than the agency traveling to the Disposition Services Location (DSL) to pick the item(s) up. This in turn increases the total dollar amount of property the agencies are receiving each fiscal year.

As an approved Transitional Distribution Center (Center), DPS staff continued to screen and tag mostly IT equipment, such as desktop and laptop computers. Staff can bring these items back to the Center and refurbish them prior to issuing them out to the requesting local agencies. This IT equipment is assisting law enforcement agencies in capturing crime statistics data and managing records as well as inter-agency networking via the Internet.

Types of property these local agencies are tagging include, but are not limited to: watercraft, for the agencies located along one of the many rivers or lakes in the State of Missouri; generators, to assist during power losses due to storms; off-road 4x4 vehicles, to assist with drug eradication; and specialty gear that tactical teams are using for high risk entry, such as night vision goggles, spotting scopes, red dot rifle scopes, and load-bearing tactical vests. DPS staff has seen a significant increase in the number of agencies requesting weapons for high-risk search warrant entry and active shooter incident response along with an increase in requests for the off road HMMWV (Hummer) during FY12.

FY13 SUMMARY

Implementation of the 2012/2013 funding year began with the review of JAG grant applications on May 7, 2012 by a review committee consisting of the DPS - CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Forty-seven (47) requests for funding were reviewed within the approved project categories as described below. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy were considered for funding. Thirty-two (32) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$4,383,294.04.

Twenty-nine (29) requests for funding were received through the Recovery-JAG Program and twenty-seven (27) grant awards were made to local recipients in the amount of \$1,225,479.14.

In addition, the DPS – CJ/LE Program continued funding through a program titled the Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Grant (MJCCG) created as a result of the availability of Recovery-JAG funds. A total of fifteen (15) requests for funding were received and a review committee consisting of DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector met on May 9, 2012. Fifteen (15) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$999,813.17.

Finally, 208 requests for funding were received through the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, which is money set aside from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program. A review committee consisting of DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice sector will meet on October 24-25, 2012. Grant awards met were made to 106 local recipients in the amount of \$653,238.12.

Following is a brief summary on each category funded through the DPS – CJ/LE Program during the 2012/2013 funding cycle. Evaluation plans for these programs are provided in Section III of this report.

Law Enforcement Programs

Funding for Law Enforcement projects was once again the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ/LE Program during funding year 2012/2013.

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$3,943,503.06 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces, \$161,694.35 to one (1) multi-agency law enforcement group, for a total of \$4,105,197.41. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 98 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts.

Under the 2012 Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$1,225,479.14 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces as a supplement to their JAG award.

Under the 2012 MJCCG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$999,813.17 to 15 multi-jurisdictional cyber task forces. The MJCCG Program continues funding to a previously funded state program. In December 2006, the State of Missouri appropriated state monies for the purpose of establishing the Internet Cyber Crime Grant (ICCG) program, which allowed for the funding of salaries of detectives and forensic personnel and training for those individuals whom worked directly with internet crimes relating to child pornography, enticement, solicitation, and other sex-related offenses. State funding was re-appropriated in FY09 but was not re-appropriated for FY10, FY11, FY12 or FY13. Therefore, in FY13, the State of Missouri, Department of Public Safety, once again allocated monies from the Recovery-JAG Program in order to retain the previously funded positions and to continue the enforcement and public training provided by the cyber crime units within the state. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 102 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts during the 2012 funding opportunity.

Under the 2012 LLEBG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program has tentatively awarded \$653,238.12 to 106 local law enforcement agencies. The LLEBG Program is a vital funding mechanism for law enforcement. Short-term contracts are awarded from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program for purchase of basic law enforcement and officer safety equipment that will enable Missouri law enforcement to meet their local needs. Such items include, but are not limited to light bars, sirens, mobile and portable radios, flashlights, handcuffs, protective clothing, ballistic vests, car cages, in-car cameras, locks, and trauma kits.

Prosecution and Court Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$29,850.92. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, judicial, and medical staff may also be provided on proper handling/processing of these cases as well as establishment of communication lines between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective resolution of this problem.

Prevention and Education Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$155,902.68. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. The project will continue to provide the proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely respond to methamphetamine laboratory incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public, and the environment.

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No funding assistance provided to this approved purpose area during the 2012/2013 funding cycle.

Drug Treatment Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$23,698.14. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. Drug-treatment-programs identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy (such as counseling, cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy), medications, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Panning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$68,644.89. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. The project will continue to enhance the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner. This goal remains a top priority for the State of Missouri and this approved purpose area provides the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect the required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies are assisted with automated criminal justice reporting to the state central repository to ensure reports are timely, accurate and complete.

II. FY12 SUMMARY OF PROGRAMS, PERFORMANCE MEASURES, EVALUATION METHODS, AND EVALUATION RESULTS

State Fiscal Year	Awarded to Sub-Recipients	Expended by Sub-Recipients	Admin Expended by SAA
2011-2012	\$18,065,582.20	\$16,068,865.88	\$564,625.25

PURPOSE AREA: LAW ENFORCEMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 183

Federal Funds Awarded: \$12,027,643.82

Federal Funds Expended: \$11,942,739.28

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Illicit drugs cause major problems for law enforcement agencies in the State of Missouri. The use, sale, distribution, and transportation of illegal narcotics must be addressed.

A significant amount of crime both in the State of Missouri, and nation as a whole can largely be attributed to drug violations. Drug violations can act as a springboard to other crimes such as homicides, robberies, assaults, larcenies, burglaries, vandalism, and violence in public housing, and help to create a fear of crime in neighborhoods.

Because of the sparse population in the rural areas of the State, drug traffickers for clandestine laboratories, where amphetamine/methamphetamine is manufactured, often use these areas. Many of the rural areas are protected by local law enforcement agencies that have limited resources and are unable to provide 24 hour staffing to protect its citizens, much less operate specialized drug units without financial assistance. The hazardous material generated by the manufacture of methamphetamine and left behind by clandestine laboratory operators compounds this problem.

In addition, use of the Internet has become widespread in the United States and is accepted by many juveniles as a way to communicate with their peers by posting personal websites or joining social networks. Unfortunately the anonymity of the Internet can lead to misuse by sex offenders and sexual predators and cyber bullies. In a Youth Internet Safety Survey conducted by the Crimes Against Children Research Center in 1999, one in five U.S. teenagers who regularly log on to the Internet say they have received an unwanted sexual solicitation such as sexual talk or release of personal sexual information, and 1 in 25 youth were solicited to make offline contact. Of those solicited for offline contact, four percent of youth in the CCRC survey were solicited to meet via telephone, mail, or in person. Of the surveyed children, 77% of the targets for online predators were age 14 or older and another 22% were aged 10 to 13.

Another common misuse of the Internet is consumer fraud or other white collar crimes. According to the Bureau of Justice Assistance, in 2011 the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) received 314,246 complaint submissions. This is a 3.4% increase when compared to 2010. These complaints represent a loss of \$485.3 million to Internet fraud, or median dollar loss of \$636.00 per complaint. The IC3 received 71 complaints from Missouri in 2011.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The overall drug and crime problem reveals an increasingly adverse effect upon our community and society in general. The Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program is a significant tool in combating the plague of drug and cyber crime activity that is present in our society. Agencies join together and combine resources in a team approach to provide enforcement in their target areas. As a result of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force programs, communications are improved between law enforcement agencies. These lines of communication are essential in sharing information and thereby coordinating a combined effort to combat the drug and crime problem, as well as addressing the hazards associated with the residual effects of methamphetamine manufacturing.

Through in service training, cyber crime task force personnel will keep current on evolving trends in Internet solicitations and learn new techniques and best practices utilized in sting operations aimed at identifying and apprehending online sexual predators. Awareness of cyber crimes will be increased through computer crime prevention programs and presentations given to local businesses, schools, law enforcement agencies, as well as to the general public. The quality and quantity of collected evidence for prosecution of Internet sexual predators will be enhanced by increasing the number forensic laboratory and cell phone examinations conducted by cyber crime task forces.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: To organize a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force.

Objective 1: Agencies participating in a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program funded under the JAG (or Recovery-JAG) Program must be involved early in planning for the implementation of this program. Program needs, as well as problems that may be encountered should be discussed.

PM: 1 - Cooperation of participating agencies is critical for an effective Multi-Jurisdictional Program. An agreement must be developed and signed by the department heads of the participating agencies pledging cooperative support.

Objective 2: Identify and arrest for successful prosecution individuals or groups involved in illicit drug trafficking.

PM: 1 - Gather intelligence / information
2 - Cultivate informants
3 - Identify previously unknown drug organizations and develop investigations on those groups
4 - Gather evidence for arrest and prosecution
5 - Seize illegal assets derived from drug related investigations

Objective 3: Develop a cost-effective system for the safe disposal of hazardous materials generated as by-products of clandestine drug laboratories.

PM: 1 - Develop a cross-discipline communication and cooperation model (task force, fire, EMS, environmental agencies, etc.)
2 - Train task force members in the proper collection and disposal methods associated with clandestine laboratories

Goal 2: Improve the response of Missouri law enforcement to Internet cyber crimes involving sexual solicitation of children.

Objective 1: Develop comprehensive law enforcement service training programs to expand knowledge of Internet crimes and best practices and techniques to enforce cyber crime laws.

PM: 1 - Representatives from cyber crime task forces will develop training plans and share techniques and practices with other task forces.
2 - Training plans and curriculums of developed training programs.
3 - Number of sites where training and assistance is provided.

Objective 2: Provide training to law enforcement personnel in recognizing child victimizations of Internet crime and procedures for effective reporting and processing these crimes.

PM: 1 - Attendance of law enforcement personnel at training.

Objective 3: Increase and improve use of law enforcement tools for effectively respond to cyber crimes involving children and enhance prosecution of offenders of these crimes.

PM: 1 - Number of forensic and cell phone examinations requested and conducted for child cyber crime cases.
2 - Number of warrants requested, authorized, served, arrests, and warrant seizures by law enforcement cyber crime task forces.

3 - Number of received tips and knock & talks resulting in cyber crime investigations.

Goal 3: Improve public awareness of Internet cyber crimes involving sexual solicitation of children.

Objective 1: Develop comprehensive public awareness programs and presentations to expand knowledge of Internet crimes and best practices and techniques to enforce cyber crime laws.

PM: 1 - Representatives from cyber crime task forces will develop awareness programs and presentations and share techniques and practices with other task forces.
2 - Provide comprehensive program plans and presentations to community businesses, schools, and other public audiences.
3 - Number of sites where programs and presentations are provided.
4 - Attendance to public awareness programs and presentations.

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Submit a copy of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force formal agreement
- Follow policies and guidelines for management of confidential expenditures (drug task forces)
- Report quarterly arrest, types and amounts of drugs purchased, and seizure statistics and anecdotal data by which to analyze the effectiveness of the task force (drug task forces)
- Report quarterly arrest, case activity, types and number of investigations, and training statistics and anecdotal data by which to analyze the effectiveness of the task force (cyber crime task forces)
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contact
- Submit monthly reports of expenditures
- Submit quarterly progress reports

EVALUATION METHODS

Quarterly reports and the automated information system will be utilized for evaluation reporting.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following is the list of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded by the JAG and Recovery-JAG Program:

- Adair County - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
- Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
- Barry County - Southwest Missouri Drug Task Force
- Bridgeton City - Municipal Enforcement Group Against Drug Abuse (MEGADA)
- Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
- Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
- Clay County - Clay County Drug Task Force
- Cole County - Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team And Narcotics Unit (MUSTANG)
- Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
- Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit
- Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
- Grundy County - Northwest Missouri Interagency Team Response Operation (NITRO)
- Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Jasper County Drug Task Force
- Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group
- Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Lafayette County Narcotics Unit Task Force
- Monroe City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
- Morgan County - Mid-Missouri Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Pemiscot County - Bootheel Drug Task Force

- Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group (PCMEG)
- Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
- St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)
- St. Louis City Metro Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program
- St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Vernon County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
- West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

A full statistical summary of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force accomplishments from FY12 is provided in Attachment A of this report. The following evaluation results are a summary of the data collected from the quarterly reports submitted by all the Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces. During this reporting period there were 27 Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded under this purpose area.

Organization of Multi-Jurisdiction Drug Task Forces

1. Organization and planning of each Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force is the responsibility of the primary governing body as outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
2. 302 law enforcement agencies were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces
3. 243 full time and 38 part time law enforcement officers were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces

Arrest and prosecution of individuals / groups in drug trafficking

1. Total arrests during fiscal year 2012 were 7,792
2. The five most frequent drug charges were: 1) sale methamphetamine; 2) possession marijuana; 3) possession methamphetamine; 4) possession of paraphernalia; and 5) sale marijuana
3. 1,940 arrest charges for sale of methamphetamine
4. 1,633 arrest charges for possession of marijuana
5. 1,496 arrest charges for possession of methamphetamine
6. 1,033 arrest charges for possession of paraphernalia
7. 981 arrest charges for sale of marijuana
8. During the four quarters reported for fiscal year 2012, 1,188 search warrants were served and 1,098 search warrants resulted in arrests.
9. The 27 Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces of Missouri located and destroyed 1,709 methamphetamine clandestine laboratories.
10. The statewide street value of all drugs seized totaled \$54,643,359. This amount includes the seizures of 190,601.74 ounces of marijuana, 4,566.17 ounces of cocaine, 37,294.8 ounces of methamphetamine, 49.17 ounces of pseudoephedrine, 54.84 ounces of crack cocaine, 255.38 ounces of heroin, and 18.25 ounces of ecstasy.
11. Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces seized a total of 4,474 doses of pseudoephedrine, 2,461 doses of ecstasy, and 15 gallons of anhydrous ammonia.
12. Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces reported a total of \$95,050 of informant expenditures utilized for 625 active informants.
13. During this reporting period, a total of 9,718 new cases were filed, with 12,010 cases still active from the previous year. A total of 21,728 active court cases awaited trial in 2012 and 8,756 were disposed.

The following is the list of Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces funded by the MJCCG Program:

- Boone County Sheriff's Department Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Clayton City Regional Computer Crimes Education and Enforcement Group (RCCEEG)
- Dent County - South Central Missouri Computer Crimes Task Force
- Independence - Northeastern Jackson County Cyber Crimes Working Group Against Internet Crimes
- Joplin City - Southwestern Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force
- Kirksville City - Regional Computer Crimes Unit
- Missouri Department of Social Services - STAT Operation Cyber-Safe
- Missouri State Highway Patrol - Digital Forensic Investigative Unit
- Platte County Western Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force
- Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Springfield City Internet Cyber Crime Initiative
- St. Charles County Internet Crimes Against Children
- St. Louis County Special Investigations Unit

- Stone County Tri-Lake Regional Internet Crimes Task Force

A full statistical summary of Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Force accomplishments from FY12 is provided in Attachment C of this report. The following evaluation results are a summary of the data collected from the quarterly reports submitted by all the Cyber Crime Task Forces. During this reporting period there were 14 Cyber Crime Task Forces funded under this purpose area.

Organization Cyber Crime Task Forces

1. 58 law enforcement agencies were involved with Cyber Crime Task Forces full time.
2. 45 law enforcement agencies were involved with Cyber Crime Task Forces part time.

Arrest and prosecution of individuals / groups in Cyber Crime

1. During this period there were 316 arrest offenses with 422 arrest made.
2. The five most frequent offenses were: 1) Possession of Child Porn, 2) Other, 3) Distribution/Receipt Child Porn, 4) Child Solicitation, and 5) Failure to Register Sex Offender.
3. There are 5,645 carry in cases, 3,454 new cases, 9,094 active cases, 3,250 disposed cases, and 5,844 carry out cases.
4. There is a total 107 participating agencies at the end of FY12.
5. During the reporting period there were 2,467 warrants.
6. There were a total of 294 computer crime prevention program & presentations attended in FY12.
7. In the reporting period there were a total of 1,280 subpoenas.
8. In addition there were 3,515 cases that involved forensic lab and 1,576 cases that involved cell phone exams.

The following is the list of other law enforcement projects funded by the JAG, Recovery-JAG, and State Recovery-JAG Program:

Carroll County Replacement of Police Radios: This project supports replacement of Carroll County Sheriff's office's police mobile radios. The goal of this program is to help Carroll County communicate more effectively and to allow officers to better serve Carroll County citizens. This goal will be achieved by two objectives: 1) Improve the efficiency of the Carroll County 911 communication center by purchasing three new mobile radios; 2) Maintain reliable and effective communication between police officers and the 911 communication center.

Report of Success: Radios were installed. The 911 Emergency Dispatch saw great improvement with having these radios. Having this improved communications has resulted in fewer miscommunications and less time spent on the radio and cell phones.

Dallas County Patrol Vehicle Program: This project supports Dallas County Sheriff's Office purchase of reliable used patrol to replace two older patrol vehicles currently in service. Maintenance cost for the replacement vehicles will be reduced compared to the older vehicles, reducing the Dallas County Sheriff's Office vehicle service budget. This goal will be achieved by completing the following objectives: 1) Improve the reliability of Dallas County Sheriff's Office patrol vehicles; and 2) Improve Dallas County Sheriff's Office visibility to citizens by increasing amount of time expended on patrol.

Report of Success: The Dallas County Sheriff's office purchased a total of four used patrol vehicles. These vehicles further allow the officers to serve the Dallas County area.

Iron County Records Management: This project supports Iron County Sheriff's Office purchase of record management system (RMS) software. This software will provide a standardized system that allows officers to complete all required reports and will maintain required UCR and racial profiling information. The goal of this program is to have a RMS installed to assist Iron County Sheriff's Office with its reporting needs. This goal will be achieved by completing two objectives: 1) Identify and purchase an RMS and implement / integrate this system with existing agency functions; 2) Train all agency personnel on RMS software to enter and maintain reports.

Report of Success: The Iron County Sheriff's office has acquired the software to install the records management system. Phase two of the process was also complete with the order of eight individual laptops for the officers to have access to the software.

Jackson County Drug Abatement Response Team (DART): This project continued support to the DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. The goal of this program was to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County

community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area was restored and protected. Suspected drug activity could be anonymously reported to DART team members who then communicated the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinated street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities were provided by DART team members.

Report of Success: DART was instrumental in 132 criminal cases filed against individuals for possessing precursor chemicals, solvents, or solutions with intent to manufacture methamphetamine. DART closed down 60 methamphetamine labs. Owners were sent 269 notice letters that their property was being used for methamphetamine production and 29 evictions were completed. Another 33 evictions were pending. Following 107 fires and housing inspections made in the DART posted properties, 4 properties were vacated. In the DART area, 16 potential nuisance cases were filed. Over 1115 residents had contact with the DART Coordinator, Methamphetamine Prosecutor, and Community Prosecutors. DART with other law enforcement agencies successfully served 187 search warrants and 175 arrests were made. In addition, law enforcement made 27 buy/busts that resulted in 38 arrests.

The DART team members recognized the importance of the service being provided to the community and how teamwork fostered their efforts to efficiently rid their neighborhoods of drug activity. When patrol officers shared information with the DART team, there was a broader view of the drug problems in the city. DART's database collected from police reports and their contacts is extremely helpful and attorneys used this database to assist in tracking witnesses and victims for various trials. Moreover, these data were used by community groups to show what houses were posted by DART and what impact DART has had in their community.

The DART Coordinator required several property owners to schedule a meeting with the DART Coordinator after their numerous weekly inspections. When the DART team arrived at a property for an inspection, the DART investigator and the DART coordinator were then prepared to discuss the issues with those present at the property at the time of the inspection. The DART Coordinator and Investigator attended numerous neighborhood social activities, meetings, and speaking engagements. This visibility to these neighborhoods where crime has been confirmed with drug activity was critical. The continued success of DART is greatly attributed to the wide range of resources that make up the DART team.

The continued success of DART is greatly attributed to community residents who are increasingly growing intolerant of drug activity in their neighborhood. Increased phone calls from community members regarding trespassing issues in posted DART properties are strong evidence of their growing intolerance to this illegal activity.

Maries County - K9 Patrol Cruiser: This project supports Maries County Sheriff's Office purchase of a reliable cruiser for its new K-9 program. Drug interdiction and officer safety in Maries County will greatly improve with the implementation of a K9 patrol. The goal of this program is provide the K-9 officer and dog with a reliable and adequately equipped vehicle to safely perform their duties. This goal will be achieved by one objective: 1) Purchase and equip a reliable patrol cruiser suitable for K-9 drug interdiction and other duties.

Report of Success: The K-9 vehicle was purchase by the Missouri State Highway Patrol.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - Rural Crimes Investigative Unit: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol with the employment of ten criminal investigators and two civilian criminal intelligence analysts. The goal of this program is to maximize statewide coverage by dispersing criminal investigators in six regional geographic areas and centrally locating unit's crime analysts in Jefferson City. This project is to investigate rural crime incidents and to provide assistance to other law enforcement agencies with their investigations of these crimes. This goal will be achieved by completing two objectives 1) Increase personnel assigned to the rural crimes investigation unit by five percent; and 2) Increase the number investigations in fiscal year 12 as compared to prior fiscal year.

Report of Success: The MSHP RCIU has recovered \$421,160 in stolen property, investigated 65 incidents, and arrested 10 individuals. Since the RCIU was formed in 2009 it has recovered \$5,716,849.57 in stolen property and investigated 1212 incidents.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - State Helicopter Project: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol's purchase of a new helicopter and helicopter flight simulator to train pilots for normal and emergency situations. This helicopter will be deployed to situations throughout the state and the flight simulator will provide training services to the MSHP and other law enforcement agencies. The goal of this program is to increase the Missouri State Highway Patrol's capability to provide dedicated airborne assets to public safety incidents that threaten life, welfare, and property in Missouri. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives 1) Provide search and rescue missions upon request within three months of acquisition of helicopter; 2) Enhance citizens and officers safety by providing helicopter pilots' use of live video downlinks and moving maps; 3) Provide helicopter training to law enforcement agencies to ensure pilot safety.

Report of Success: The MSHP Aircraft Division purchased a Bell 407 helicopter with the guidance through the Office of Administration. Three pilots were trained to use the aircraft. There were two goals MSHP wanted to achieve with the purchase of the helicopter. Goal one within three months of a helicopter acquisition, successfully provide search and rescue missions upon request. This goal was met by training three pilots to use the helicopter safely along with the correct equipment being installed to carry out their missions. Goal two enhance the capability of pilots in the state, with minimal risk to operational equipment, through helicopter simulation training in normal and emergency procedures in helicopter operation. This goal was met by the purchase of the helicopter simulator. MSHP pilots have trained for 25 hours with the helicopter simulator.

The following is a summary of equipment funded by the LLEG program:

- Vehicles = 14
- Light Bars = 191
- Sirens/Siren Boxes/Speakers = 56
- Flashlights = 141
- Ballistic Vests = 82
- Protective Clothing (Gloves, Safety Vests, Jackets, Raincoats) = 192
- In-Car Cameras = 27
- Radio/Repeaters = 155
- Cages/Partions = 12
- Trauma Kits = 51
- Other (e.g. Handcuffs, Leg irons, Surveillance Systems, Gun Racks etc.) = 274

PURPOSE AREA: PROSECUTION AND COURT

Number of Sub-grants: 1

Federal Funds Awarded: \$33,168.21

Federal Funds Expended: \$33,006.34

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Human Services reported 1,009,904 children were victimized in 2006. Of these victimized children, 66.3 percent experienced neglect (including medical neglect), 16.0 percent were physically abused, 8.8 percent were sexually abused, 6.6 percent were emotionally or psychologically maltreated, and 16.3 percent were victimized by another means or unknown. A recent survey conducted by the Crimes Against Children Research Center (CCRC) indicates a much greater proportion of children are physically assaulted. According to CCRC researchers just more than half of youth (53%) experienced a physical assault. The highest rate of physical assault victimization occurred during between ages six and 12. In addition, the CCRC survey indicated 8.2% of experienced sexual victimization, including sexual assault (3.2%) and attempted or completed rape (2.2%).

In 2008, 50,565 reports of child abuse or neglect were received by the Missouri Department of Social Services, Children's Division. Homicide was listed as the death certificate manner of death for 59 Missouri children in 2007 by Department of Social Services.

Citing *Crime in Missouri*, in 2011, a total of 40,613 domestic violence incidents were reported by Missouri law enforcement agencies. Of these incidents, the majority involved spouses (19.3%), persons not married but residing together (25.5%), or blood relatives (17.9%). In 2011, a total of 71 homicides were reported in Missouri that were related to domestic violence. Of these homicides, 42.2% involved a female family member including wives, mothers, daughters, ex-wives, common-law wives or girl friends. Another 15.5% involved husbands, boyfriends, and sons. Husband/wife relationships accounted for 18.3% of all 2011 domestic violence related homicides.

The consequences of domestic violence are far-reaching not only for families but for society as a whole. The U.S. Department of Justice has estimated that during their lifetime, one out of every six American women will experience violence by an intimate partner. Adults in abusive homes have a greater chance of developing alcohol, drugs, gambling, or relational problems. It has been suggested that children growing up in abusive households may develop problems with alcohol and drugs. These children also may become violators to their children when they become parents. The 2006 National Violence Against Women Survey found that more than 300,000 woman are estimated to be victims of sexual abuse every year in the United States.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Programs were sought that addressed the response of the Missouri criminal justice system to domestic / family violence in the State. These programs included law enforcement, intervention, and prosecution for domestic violence issues as they interfaced with the criminal justice system, regardless of where in or external to the system the program is based. Emphasis was placed on programs for victims of child sexual abuse and crimes against the elderly. Prosecution and court programs will be sought that demonstrate new and different approaches to the enforcement, prosecution, and adjudication of violent crime offenses. By encouraging applicants to develop new strategies and methodologies for dealing with violent crime, domestic violence and child abuse crime problems, it is hoped that gaps and/or redundancy in coverage areas will be minimized or eliminated and the effectiveness of available resources will be maximized. The program will also encourage applicants to develop a strategic view that encompasses more than one aspect of the war on violence and addresses elements such as supervision, employment, community service, mental and medical treatment, and restitution.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Improve effectiveness of criminal justice agencies response to violent crime through targeted enforcement, investigation, prevention, prosecution, and adjudication of specific criminal offenders.

Objective 1: Law enforcement agencies and prosecution offices collaboratively focus on high crime rate geographic areas.

- PM: 1 - Identify high crime rate areas at local government level.
 2 - Initiate, plan, and deploy criminal justice teams to enforce, prosecute, and share information regarding problem properties and offenders in identified high crime rate areas.
 3 - Develop community involvement programs to inform and educate residents of high crime rate areas on crime prevention.
 4 - Implement advocacy groups to encourage support for crime prevention, offender treatment, and witness programs.

Goal 2: Improving the criminal and juvenile justice system's response to domestic and family violence, including spouse abuse, child abuse, and abuse of the elderly

Objective 1: Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of domestic/family violence

- PM: 1 - Number of training sessions / seminars held.
 2 - Number of persons attending training.

Objective 2: Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.

- PM: 1 – An increase in the number of trained domestic/family violence investigators.
 2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to domestic/family violence cases.
 3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with domestic/family violence

Objective 3: Enhance the investigative abilities of domestic/family violence investigators.

- PM: 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.
 2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing domestic/family violence victims
 3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.

Objective 4: Develop judicially accepted alternative domestic/family violence victim interview techniques.

- PM: 1 – Victim’s exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.
 2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.
 3 – Stronger court cases are realized.
 4 – Number of offenders that completed domestic/family violence education and/or treatment programs.

Goal 3: To develop and implement programs that enhance the response to crimes involving child abuse and neglect, including child sexual abuse.

Objective 1: Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of child abuse and neglect cases.

- PM: 1 – Number of training sessions/seminars held
 2 – Number of persons attending training.

Objective 2: Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.

- PM: 1 – An increase in the number of trained child abuse/neglect investigators.
 2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to child abuse and neglect cases.
 3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with child abuse and neglect.

Objective 3: Enhance the investigative abilities of child abuse/neglect investigators.

- PM: 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.
 2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing child victims
 3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.

Objective 4: Develop judicially accepted alternative child victim interview techniques.

- PM:
- 1 – Victim’s exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.
 - 2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.
 - 3 – Stronger court cases are realized.

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Submit monthly expenditure reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance
- Submit a copy of the formal agreements for inter-disciplinary investigation teams.
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contact

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluations are based on quarterly reports submitted by grant recipients.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

St. Louis City Circuit Attorney’s Office Domestic Violence Investigator: This project continued support of a misdemeanor domestic violence investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney’s Office domestic violence attorney. The goal of this project was to increase community safety and reduce domestic violence in the City of St. Louis. This goal was to be achieved by two objectives: 1) Focus on misdemeanor domestic violence incidents through cooperative efforts of the Misdemeanor Domestic Violence (DV) Investigator and the Circuit Attorney Office Violent Unit; and 2) Focus efforts on enhancing misdemeanor domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation for prosecution.

Report of Success: The Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Investigator worked 250 cases and the Misdemeanor DV unit issued 50 new cases. The investigator acting as a liaison between the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department and the Circuit Attorney’s Office interviewed police officers in preparation for trial on misdemeanor domestic violence cases. In addition, the investigator requested follow-up police, lab tests, investigations included photographs in 12 cases, crime scene reviews, witness interviews, medical releases were obtained, and additional evidence was collected.

Potential witnesses were located, served subpoenas, and interviewed for 200 pending misdemeanor domestic violence cases. The investigator served subpoenas for witnesses and victims in approximately four to five bench trials and ten to fifteen jury trials per week. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney currently has 120 cases pending trial dates. The Misdemeanor DV Investigator personally served subpoenas to 200 victims. An agreement is in place with the judges of the misdemeanor divisions that cases will be nolle prossed by the Circuit Attorney’s Office rather than be dismissed. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney prepared 250 cases during the grant period. Trial preparation assistance was provided for 250 cases. Of the prepared cases: 71 pleas, 9 bench trials, 4 jury trial, and 19 dismissed for failure to prosecute.

PURPOSE AREA: PREVENTION AND EDUCATION

Number of Sub-grants: 2

Federal Funds Awarded: \$205,705.16

Federal Funds Expended: \$166,080.03

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Clandestine production of methamphetamine is very dangerous because of the volatile, hazardous and toxic chemicals and processes involved. It not only poses risks to those involved in this illegal drug industry but also to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials who discover, collect evidence, and dispose of clandestine laboratory sites. In addition, environment and public exposed to these are negatively affected. The instability of chemicals used in methamphetamine can cause fires and explosions during its production as well during cleanup of dumpsites. Outdoor clandestine laboratories are typically located in rivers, fields, and forests causing extensive damage to the environment. Because of methamphetamine production doesn't require elaborate equipment; indoor clandestine laboratories are commonly found in private residences, hotels/motels, garages, and abandoned buildings. This can expose family members as well as unassociated public to toxic chemicals.

Evolving chemical processes to produce methamphetamine has possibly increased the hazards to all coming in contact with them. According to the National Drug and Intelligence Center (NDIC), five common production methods are used to make methamphetamine. Four of these involve chemical reduction ephedrine/pseudoephedrine but use different precursor chemicals. Common precursor chemicals include, but not limited to, hydriodic acid, red phosphorous, hypo-phosphorous acid, anhydrous ammonia, and mercuric acid. All of these are toxic and afford many health risks to those in contact.

In FY 2012, multi-jurisdictional drug task forces destroyed 1,709 clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Although the number of seized clandestine laboratories suggests this illicit drug industry has decreased in recent years, it continues to be very problematic in Missouri.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Programs were sought that addressed the response of public safety and emergency service officials to clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Applications were encouraged that addressed crime scene processing, site cleanup, and disposal of chemicals and equipment associated with these labs. Provision of supplies, training, and reference materials was available to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to effectively equip them to safety process methamphetamine laboratories and construct collection stations for chemical disposal.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Improve effectiveness of public safety and emergency service officials' response to processing of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

Objective 1: Law enforcement, fire service, state agencies collaboratively and appropriately respond to removal of methamphetamine laboratories.

PM:

- 1 - Develop communication plans and jurisdictional procedures to address methods for responding to service calls involving methamphetamine laboratories.
- 2 - Acquire proper supplies and equipment to effectively and safety clean methamphetamine laboratories.
- 3 - Establish and maintain hazardous material collection/control sites within a reasonable distance of each task force's area of operations

Objective 2: Ensure first responders are adequately trained to initially process, collect criminal evidence, and quarantine discovered methamphetamine laboratories.

PM:

- 1 - Develop and provide training materials to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency service personnel.
- 2 - Identify providers in service area

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Submit monthly expenditure reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluation reports were based on quarterly reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by all programs funded under this program area:

Greenville School Resource Officer/DARE Instructor: This project supports the Greenville Police Department's efforts to increase school safety and drug awareness. The goal of this project is to increase safety and inform school children of the dangers of drugs and alcohol. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide an on-site law enforcement officer to ensure a safe school environment for students, faculty, and community; 2) Increase response time for all instances of crime, disorder problems, gangs, and drug activities; and 3) Provide education and guidance in drug and alcohol prevention with DARE program

Report of Success: An officer was trained in Jefferson City, MO. This officer started teaching class October 1, 2011. The SRO/DARE instructor gave notice to terminate his employ and his last day was February 14th, 2012. A part-time DARE instructor was located and employed. During this fiscal year there were 50 students who completed the course of education. There were 27 hours of core curriculum instruction and 46 Students who completed the Junior High Training course education.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station: This continuing project supported the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Emergency Response Section, Environmental Services Program to expand and enhance an existing project for responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean up requests. The goal of this project was to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal was achieved by three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

Report of Success: The Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Environmental Emergency Response (EER) was afforded the purchases of proper supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public, and the environment, supplies to process and dispose of clandestine drug lab materials from the clandestine drug laboratory collection stations (CDLCSs), and on-site response to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents, if assistance was requested by law enforcement.

Part of the funding from this grant was used to purchase necessary personal protective equipment and supplies for safety, cleanup and air monitoring to help law enforcement and others respond safely and properly manage seized clandestine drug lab materials. The Department provided items such as sample bottles, vials, buckets with lids, air purifying respirator and cartridge, chemical protective coveralls, nitrile gloves, boot covers, trash bags, disposable pipettes, safety glasses, absorbent, duct tape, PH paper, Drager pumps and colorimetric tubes, and over-pack drums to law enforcement agencies. By providing these supplies, the Department helped ensure that these agencies could respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public and the environment.

The Department established seventeen (17) CDLCSs throughout the State. These CDLCSs accepted drug lab material from various law enforcement and drug task force agencies. The Department provided supplies, operational oversight, and assistance to collection stations. The Department also provided assistance to the CDLCS personnel and helped with the processing of waste materials accepted at the collection stations, ensuring the completing of all required documentation. The Department used a tracking system to account for drug lab materials accepted at the collection stations. The following were processed: reused/recycled material (334 lbs), solid waste (3,031 lbs.), hazardous waste (1,363 lbs.), and hazardous substances (80 lbs.). The EER has staff available to respond on-site to clandestine drug labs and assist law enforcement, drug task forces, fire department, and other agencies within the State of Missouri with the proper management, cleanup and disposal of clandestine drug lab chemicals. From calendar year 2011, the Department estimates that there were 2,096 methamphetamine lab incidents.

PURPOSE AREA: DRUG TREATMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 1

Federal Funds Awarded: \$26,331.35

Federal Funds Expended: \$26,095.30

PROBLEM STATEMENT

There is ample documentation of the connection between substance abuse and crime. The impact substance-abusing offenders have on society, the criminal justice system, and themselves are significant. Most notably, the drug-involved offender typically commits many more crimes than the non-involved offenders. They are likely to commit hundreds of crimes including robberies and burglaries each year. We know that large numbers of criminal offenders are active abusers of illicit drugs and alcohol and that a relatively small number of drug involved offenders are responsible for a grossly disproportionate amount of crime. The need to focus on the development of effective strategies for addressing drug and alcohol abuse among juvenile and adult offenders is evident. The growing understanding of the relationship of substance abuse and crime has supported the need for comprehensive and coordinated substance abuse services at all points of the criminal justice system.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A variety of effective programs, such as substance abuse counselors, drug treatment and intervention, and intensive supervision of juveniles have been implemented throughout the state. This is a comprehensive focus on substance abuse services at all levels and includes the following key components: Appropriate assessment and intervention, substance abuse education, a range of treatment modalities to meet offender need levels, after-care services, an emphasis on continuity of care, and an on-going concern for quality assurances. The primary focus of the Intensive Supervision Probation/Parole program will be to provide additional public corrections resources and improve the corrections systems.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: To address defendant's needs through effective case management, reduce drug use and recidivism, relieve pressures on non-drug caseloads and concentrate drug case expertise in one courtroom.

Objective 1: Court officials are provided training in alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Attendance of personnel at training

Objective 2: Implementation of alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Develop standard operating procedures for participant eligibility
2 - Develop methodology for participant tracking

Objective 3: Provide offender based education; job and life skills training that will help them become productive and drug-free citizens.

PM: 1 - Identify providers in service area
2 - Develop working relationship and implement memorandum of understanding with appropriate service providers
3 - Assemble baseline data on participants to allow for quantifiable success measurement

Goal 2: To develop, implement and provide prioritized substance abuse treatment services to include assessment education, treatment, interventions, modalities, after care, and support groups.

Objective 1: A research design component and implementation plan is necessary to provide an assessment of the problems and steps to be taken to address these problems.

PM: 1 - Provide steps taken to assess problems and develop implementation plan

Objective 2: To develop, as determined appropriate, treatment and intervention plans, drug education services, and self-help groups.

- PM: 1 - A copy of the policies and procedures will be provided
2 - Specialists will be hired to support treatment, education and group therapy programs
3 - Specialized training will be provided to support treatment, education, aftercare and group therapy programs

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Provide a need assessment
- Provide assessment instrument
- Provide a detailed action plan for the proposed program
- Provide reports to include recidivism rates of those completing program
- Provide reports including employment rates of those completing program
- Provide semi-annual and annual project progress reports
- Be site monitored to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluation methodology utilizes semi-annual and annual reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's Office: This new project supports the Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's office response to offenders and multiple offenders of drug or alcohol related charges. The goal of this project is to address the gap in the current prosecution/treatment model for alcohol/drug offenders. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide a drug court to process serious drug offenders; 2) Enhance disposed drug offenders' probation through a combination of probation and parole; and 3) Provide monitoring and supervision through the prosecutor's office.

Report of Success: MOJO has met its goals by drug testing defendants and treatment requirements are being monitored along with helping defendants obtain drivers license and attend GED programs. More intense monitoring has taken place such as drug court as alternatives to revocation. The success of this program has been met by changing the life of the youth by helping them with their addiction to drug and alcohol.

PURPOSE AREA: PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 3

Federal Funds Awarded: \$4,258,678.78

Federal Funds Expended: \$3,900,944.93

PROBLEM STATEMENT

In today's society, criminal history records are becoming increasingly relied upon by the criminal justice system to make charge, release, and sentencing decisions. Records are also used as a tool when making decisions regarding licensing and employment purposes, including foster care, schoolteachers and bus drivers, hospital, nursing home, and home health care employees, and in transactions relating to the purchase of firearms. Local criminal justice agencies are required to report criminal history to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's Central Criminal History Records System (CHRS) repository. The paper system of reporting is quickly becoming obsolete and does not allow timely, accurate, and complete criminal histories. Local criminal justice agencies are unable to report in an adequate manner when they have to stretch their budgets and personnel to the limits just to get their core duties accomplished. In order to achieve complete, accurate, and timely criminal history records, cooperative efforts of all the components of the criminal justice system must be implemented.

Illicit drugs impact on society is manifested in many ways. The criminal justice system is negatively impacted by the resources and efforts expended to control both illicit drug use and industries. These drugs also negatively affect the health of Missouri citizens, economic infrastructure, social fabric, and environment. No single data source or information system can directly measure illicit drugs adverse impact on these. The nature, extent, and temporal and geographic trends can only be accessed through analysis of many disparate data systems such as those of criminal laboratories, law enforcement, juvenile and adult courts, hospitals, treatment centers, and corrections.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Given that each component of the criminal justice system is responsible to a different authority (such as the circuit courts to the Office of State Courts Administrator, prosecutors and sheriffs to their constituencies and police to the mayor or city manager), no one agency can effectively support all elements of the criminal history system. This program is designed around a support structure to address each component. Through cooperative efforts, law enforcement, prosecutors, and courts will provide an integrated solution to improve the completeness, accuracy and timeliness of Missouri's criminal history records. The local criminal justice agencies will be provided with equipment, software and training for the automation and integration of systems for the improvement of the criminal history reporting capabilities. The implementation of law enforcement case management, prosecutor case management and courts case management systems will provide statewide access for users. Once local agencies are automated and linked to the state criminal record repository, the federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files and other databases become a substantial tool in fighting crime and protecting our citizens. A totally automated system is being developed where each agency with reporting responsibilities interacts directly with the criminal history system to provide the required information for the record event under their jurisdiction. The CHRS repository would then be responsible for coordinating this effort and controlling the quality and dissemination of the records. They would also be available to assist any element of the system that encounter problems and be responsible for training on an as needed basis.

The purpose of the Justice Assistance Grant Program is to assist states and units of local government in implementing specific programs that offer a high probability of enhancing and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the criminal justice system. Special emphasis is placed on controlling violent and drug-related crime and serious offenders, and fostering multi-jurisdictional and multi-state efforts to support national drug-control priorities. This is achieved through analysis of the illicit drug problem in Missouri and maintaining several criminal justice data systems.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Promote the timely collection of criminal history record information from all criminal justice agencies in Missouri and store these records at Missouri CHRS repository.

Objective 1: Develop a comprehensive information and training program to assist agencies in complying with mandatory criminal history records reporting requirements.

- PM: 1 - Representatives from the courts, law enforcement and prosecution will meet monthly to develop an automation plan.
 2 - Training plans and curriculums of developed training programs.
 3 - Number of sites where training and assistance is provided.

Objective 2: Provide equipment and software systems for automating criminal justice agencies.

- PM: 1 - Counties throughout the state will be scheduled for implementation of systems.
 2 - Teams will install hardware and software and train criminal justice personnel based upon the implementation schedule.
 3 - Number of counties automated.

Goal 2: Establish a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations to enable the State of Missouri to effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environment in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs.

Objective 1: Ensure administration requirements of Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant are adequately met.

- PM: 1 - Develop a drug and violent crime strategy as required under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant.
 2 - Provide research services to Missouri DPS, criminal justice authorities, and other public officials.
 3 - Develop and publish evaluation criteria and information systems for funded programs
 4 - Provide statistical assistance in maintenance of UCR summary based information system

Goal 3: Provide training and support to criminal justice agencies with Missouri required crime reporting, including the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) System and Criminal History Records System (CHRS).

Objective 1: Operate and maintain statewide UCR System

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting requirements
 2 - Conduct quality assurance reviews and audits
 3 - Assist Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting procedures

Objective 2: Support CHRS fingerprint and case disposition requirements

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement, prosecutor, and court agencies on correct record processing and procedures.
 2 - Conduct seminars and attend conferences to support JAG
 3 - Employ CHRS quality control procedures and programs to monitor CHRS fingerprint and case disposition reporting compliance

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Identify the various criminal justice agencies providing input to the CHRS
- Provide a list of counties that are automated
- Provide a list of counties where training and assistance is provided
- Provide bid specifications on equipment
- Provide reports showing increase of criminal records being reported
- Submit monthly report of expenditures
- Conduct required crime and drug analyses and publish reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time during the contract period

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluations are based on quarterly reports submitted by sub-recipients.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

Creve Coeur Police Department Automating Evidence Management to Maintain Evidentiary Integrity: This new project supports the Creve Coeur Police Department's purchase of a new automated evidence management system. The goal of this project is to increase efficiency and reduce time spent on evidence maintenance. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide an efficient evidence processing system that saves case prosecution time and money; 2) Maintain a thorough and accountable evidence chain of custody; and 3) Improve the city's prosecution of criminal cases.

Report of Success: The installation and integration of the E Twist evidence management system has been complete. The evidence custodian reported an increase efficiency and effectiveness. The goal of decreasing evidence management times by 25% is an achievable goal. Also there is a better accountability to the evidence that has been "checked out" for the judicial process. There has also been an increase in public confidence since the system was put in place.

Missouri Department of Corrections - Enhancing Safety in DAI: This new project is intended for the acquisition of UHF and VHF base stations, hand-held radios, and desktop consoles for 14 institutions to replace and improve outdated radio systems. The goals of this project include: 1) to improve institutional security, 2) ensure compliance with FCC narrowband regulations, and 3) improve public safety by allowing radio interoperability with outside law enforcement agencies. These goals will be achieved by the following objectives: 1) ensuring the department institutions have radio systems compliant with FCC mandates, 2) decreasing incidents of equipment failure, 3) decreasing dead spots in identified institutions, and 4) ensuring staff have the ability to communicate with other institutions and other law enforcement agencies.

Report of Success: The radio equipment was delivered to the sites that require towers. The radio towers have been received by the vendor and will be delivered to the site at the time of the final installation. The radio installation was complete at KCCRC. The tower foundation has been completed at WERDCC and NECC. A&W crews are in the process of going to the facilities that have received their radios to complete the radio programming. It is anticipated the radio systems at the facilities requiring towers will be installed and operational by late October. This project will be on going into fiscal year 2013.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - Administrative Data Analysis And Problem Identification: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Develop and implement Missouri's UCR data collection application and output report application; and 4) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems in supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problems and grant administration.

Report of Success: Two reports, *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy* and *Nature and Extent of Illicit Drug Problems in Missouri* and *Analysis of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces* were developed during this grant period. The publication *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy* was completed and provided to DPS CJ/LE staff in September, 2011. Analyses were completed from data gathered from Missouri state agencies such as Department of Health and Senior Services and Department of Mental Health. Data analyses included treatment and hospital admissions, juvenile referrals, prison incarcerations, criminal arrests, intravenous AIDS / HIV cases, multi-jurisdictional drug task force seizures, crime laboratory cases, and MJTF survey responses. Results of these analyses were published in the publication entitled *Nature and Extent of the Illicit Drug problem in Missouri, 2012*. An Internet based survey instrument was designed and implemented to capture drug task force officials' perceptions of the drug industry in Missouri. The survey was distributed to the points of contacts of twenty-seven multi-jurisdictional drug task forces. Analyses of survey responses were completed and results were incorporated into the 2012 edition of the publication entitled *Nature and Extent of Illicit Drug Problems in Missouri*.

The publication entitled *Justice Assistance Grant and Recovery Act - JAG Evaluation Plan 2011–2012* was published and provided to DPS CJ / LE in September 2011. In this publication, designs for programs funded in five purpose areas were constructed including prosecution / court programs (1 grants), prevention / education programs (2 grant), planning, evaluation and technology improvement programs (2 grant), law enforcement programs (28 grants), and crime laboratories (14 grants). Each program design included a set of criteria that DPS used to determine success of JAG funded programs.

The *FY 2011 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Annual Report* was completed and provided to DPS CJ / LE staff in October 2011. Final reports of success were published in the *Annual Report* for FY11 funded multi-jurisdictional drug tasks forces and programs addressing law enforcement and drug task forces, prosecution and court, prevention and education, crime laboratories, internet cyber crime, and planning / evaluation / technology. In addition, summaries were published of quarterly reports submitted by multi-jurisdictional drug task forces and crime laboratories. A strategic plan for implementing FY12 JAG funded programs was included in the *Annual Report* with evaluation designs and performance measures.

Progress reports and quality control analysis were received and completed for FY11 fourth quarter and FY12 first through third quarter multi-jurisdictional drug task forces, FY12 first through fourth quarter Crime Laboratories and Internet Cyber Crime Grant (ICCG). Progress reports were processed and data entered to their respective databases, and results of these analyzes were provided to DPS CJ/LE program staff.

III. FY13 EVALUATION & ACTIVITIES

EVALUATION DESIGN

The JAG program provides criminal justice authorities with substantial support in their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems. Program evaluation is an essential CJ/LE Program responsibility required by its enabling legislation. To meet this responsibility, BJA has provided states with guidelines, technical training, and support for assessing these projects. In Missouri, the DPS has contracted with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP), Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) to administer the evaluation component of the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs.

The following is a description of the 2012/2013 JAG program evaluation designs developed by SAC and approved by DPS. These evaluations are mostly administrative or process in nature.

Law Enforcement Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG, Recovery-JAG, State Recovery-JAG, and/or MJCCG Program funding opportunities for the 2012/2013 fiscal year:

Jackson County - Drug Abatement Response Team (DART): This project continues support to DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. DART provides an interagency mechanism through which residents in Jackson County, Missouri, can report illegal narcotics activity within their respective communities. The goal of this program is to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area is restored and protected. Suspected drug activity can be anonymously reported to DART members who then communicate the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinates street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities are provided by DART members.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of citizen reports of drug activity received by DART
- Number of drug houses and drug distribution operations closed
- Number of property owners trained on drug activity recognition
- Number of buy / bust / reverse sting operations coordinated with Patrol officers, community police, and prosecutors
- Number of property fire hazard and building code inspections completed, and number of notifications of drug activity made to property owners
- Number of community organizations given drug awareness presentations or training
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: JAG

Missouri State Highway Patrol - Rural Crimes Investigative Unit: This continued project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol with the employment of ten criminal investigators and two civilian criminal intelligence analysts. The goal of this program is to maximize statewide coverage by dispersing criminal investigators in six regional geographic areas and centrally locating unit's crime analysts in Jefferson City. This project is to investigate rural crime incidents and to provide assistance to other law enforcement agencies with their investigations of these crimes. This goal will be achieved by completing two objectives 1) Increase personnel assigned to the rural crimes investigation unit by five percent; and 2) Increase the number investigations in fiscal year 13 as compared to prior fiscal year.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of rural crime incidents handled by unit in fiscal years 11 and 12, and percent change in number of incidents between years
- Number of rural crime incidents worked in conjunction with other law enforcement agencies
- Number of arrests made as a result of rural crime investigations in fiscal years 11 and 12
- Type and value of property recovered as a result of convictions of offenders arrested from rural crime investigations
- Number of criminal investigators and criminal intelligence analysts assigned to rural crime unit before and after project
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: STATE RECOVERY-JAG

Missouri State Highway Patrol - State Helicopter Project: This project continued supports Missouri State Highway Patrol's purchase of a new helicopter and helicopter flight simulator to train pilots for normal and emergency situations. This helicopter will be deployed to situations throughout the state and the flight simulator will provide training services to the MSHP and other law enforcement agencies. The goal of this program is to increase the Missouri State Highway Patrol's capability to provide dedicated airborne assets to public safety incidents that threaten life, welfare, and property in Missouri. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives 1) Provide search and rescue missions upon request within three months of acquisition of helicopter; 2) Enhance citizens and officers safety by providing helicopter pilots' use of live video downlinks and moving maps; 3) Provide helicopter training to law enforcement agencies to ensure pilot safety.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Timely acquisition, installation, and implementation of new Patrol helicopter and helicopter flight simulator
- Number of trainees, agencies, and hours of training provided on helicopter flight simulator
- Number of helicopter flights and flight hours logged to search and rescue operations, criminal investigations, man hunts, and other public safety incidents
- Number of hours of maintenance provided for upkeep of helicopter
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: STATE RECOVERY-JAG

Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces

- Adair County - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
- Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
- Barry County - Southwest Missouri Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
- Bridgeton City - Municipal Enforcement Group Against Drug Abuse (MEGADA)
- Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
- Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
- Clay County - Clay County Drug Force
- Cole County - Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team and Narcotics Group (MUSTANG)

- Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
- Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit
- Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
- Grundy County - NITRO Task Force
- Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Jasper County Drug Task Force
- Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group
- Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Lafayette County Narcotics Unit
- Monroe City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
- Morgan County - Mid-Missouri Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Pemiscot County - Bootheel Drug Task Force
- Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group (PCMEG)
- Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
- St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)
- St. Louis City Metro Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program
- St. Louis County - Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Vernon County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
- West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

FUNDING: JAG and RECOVERY-JAG

The above grantees are required to submit quarterly Drug Task Force Status Reports on these projects. The report format is as follows:

Drug Task Force Status Report

Instructions

Unless noted otherwise, all data supplied on this quarter report should correspond to activities occurring within the reporting period.

Do not duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another participating agency!

Note: the following sections of the report are formatted as integers and therefore require whole numbers (with the exception of currency questions):

- 1) Organization
- 2) Investigations/Cases
- 3) Arrest Breakdown
- 4) Drug Purchases and Free Samples
- 5) Informant Expenses
- 6) Search Warrants
- 7) Methamphetamine Drug Labs

The following sections of the report are formatted as decimals to allow for fractions of a whole number:

- 1) Free Sample Breakdown
- 2) Marijuana Eradicated
- 3) Drug Seizure Breakdown

Enter data accordingly. If you receive an error in the formatting of a decimal field, just insert a decimal behind the number. For example, the value of 1 would be entered as 1.0.

Organization

Organization

Indicate the total number of law enforcement agencies comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force as well as any others participating in task force work activities during the reporting period.

Number of agencies

Indicate the total number of law enforcement officers (part-time and full-time) comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force during the reporting period.

Number of officers
Part Time Full Time

Investigations/Cases

Number of active investigations/cases at beginning of the quarter

Number of new investigations/cases initiated this quarter

Number of cases disposed this quarter

Number cases with evidence submitted to a State Crime Lab

Arrests

Total number of persons arrested for one or more drug offenses

Arrest Breakdown

Based on the total number of arrests indicated above, provide the total number of charges associated with each arrest for which the individual was picked up. Total charges must equal or exceed the total number of persons arrested.

Row	Paraphernalia/Possession	Sales/Manufacture	
Marijuana	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Crack Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Heroin/Opiates	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - LSD	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - PCP	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Paraphernalia	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ecstasy	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Anhydrous Ammonia	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other illicit drugs	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Arrest Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified an arrest for "other" illicit drugs, please list the type of drug for which the arrest was made. If reporting multiple "other" arrests, provide the arrest count with each type of drug.

Drug Purchases and Free Samples

Number of drug buys made

Dollar value of drug buys

Number of reverse drug buys made

Dollar value of reverse drug buys

Number of free samples received

Dollar value (estimate) of drugs received from free samples, based on local street value at time received

Drug Purchase and Free Sample Breakdown

Based on the numbers identified above, provide the quantities and types of drugs acquired through drug buys, reverse drug buys, and free samples received during the reporting period. Enter the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results.

Row	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Doses/Pills	Gallons
Marijuana	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Crack Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Heroin/Opiates	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - LSD	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - PCP	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ecstasy	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Anhydrous Ammonia	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other Drugs	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Free Sample Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified a free sample of an "other" drug, please list the drug type. If reporting multiple "other" free samples, provide the quantity per drug type.

Informant Expenses

Number of active informants paid

Dollar value expended on active informants

Search Warrants

Number of search warrants applied for

Number of search warrants authorized

Number of search warrants served

Number of search warrants served resulting in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures

Number of consent searches conducted

Indicate the number of search warrants served in each county of your jurisdiction:

--

Marijuana Eradicated

Indicate the types of marijuana destroyed through eradication operations.

Row	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Plants
Wild	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Cultivated	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Sinsemilla	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Methamphetamine Drug Labs

Number of meth drug labs destroyed:

Indicate the number of methamphetamine labs destroyed in each county of your jurisdiction:

--

Drug Seizures

Estimated dollar value of all drugs seized, based on local street cost

Drug Seizure Breakdown

Describe the types of drugs seized as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. (Do not include drug buys and free samples.) Enter quantities at time of seizure.

Row	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Doses/Pills	Gallons
Marijuana	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Crack Cocaine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Heroin/Opiates	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - LSD	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Hallucinogens - PCP	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ecstasy	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Anhydrous Ammonia	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Other Drugs	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Drug Seizure Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified "other" drugs seized as a result of a search warrant, please list them and the quantity seized per drug.

Narrative

Narrative

Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the prior sections.

Include any activities that will assist the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate the program. For example, it might be appropriate to describe (without confidential information or details) a lengthy intelligence operation, which has not yet resulted in arrests or significant drug/asset seizures. Describe all special training programs completed by task force officers (e.g. SERT, polygraph, criminal prosecution classes, etc).

Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces

- Boone County - Boone County Sheriff's Department Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Clayton City - Regional Computer Crime Education and Enforcement Group (RCCEEG)
- Dent County - South Central Missouri Crime Task Force
- Independence City - NE Jackson County Cyber Crimes Working Group Against Internet Crime
- Joplin City - Southwestern Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force
- Kirksville City - Regional Computer Crime Unit
- Malden City - Tri-County Cyber Crime Task Force Initiative
- Missouri Department of Social Services/STAT - Operation Cyber-Safe
- Missouri State Highway Patrol – Digital Forensic Investigative Unit
- Platte County - Western Missouri Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Poplar Bluff City – Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Springfield City - 2013 Internet Cyber Crime Initiative
- St. Charles County - Internet Crimes Against Children
- St. Louis County - St. Louis County Special Investigations Unit
- Stone County - Tri-Lakes Regional Internet Crimes Task Force

FUNDING: MJCCG

The above grantees are required to submit quarterly Cyber Crime Task Force Status Reports on this project. The report format is as follows:

Cyber Crime Task Force Status Report

Instructions

Unless noted otherwise, all data supplied on this quarter report should correspond to activities occurring within the reporting period.

Do not duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another agency!

Organization

Indicate the total number of law enforcement agencies comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force as well as any others participating in task force work activities during the reporting period.

Number of agencies

Indicate the total number of law enforcement officers (part-time and full-time) comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force during the reporting period.

Number of officers
Part-Time Full-Time

Investigations/Cases

Number of active investigations/cases at beginning of the quarter

Number of new investigations/cases initiated this quarter

Number of cases disposed this quarter

Tips/Reports Received from Outside Persons

Number of tips or reports received from outside persons during reporting period

Investigations/Cases Referred

Indicate the number of investigations/cases initiated but referred to other agencies during the quarter

Row	Total
Other In-State Agencies	<input type="text"/>
Out-of-State Agencies	<input type="text"/>
Other Countries	<input type="text"/>
Federal Agencies	<input type="text"/>
Other	<input type="text"/>

Investigations/Cases Referred - Other

If you identified an investigation/case was referred to an "other" agency, please list the type of agency. If you did not identify an investigation/case being referred to an "other" agency, put N/A.

Forensic Examinations

Each hard drive examined shall count as one exam. All other media regardless of size or type (per case) will count as one additional exam.

Number of forensic examinations conducted on media during the quarter

Forensic Examinations Breakdown

Purpose of above mentioned forensic examinations conducted during reporting period. The total purposes of forensic examinations should equal or exceed the total number of forensic examinations performed. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for definitions of the following offenses.

Row	Total
Distribution/Receipt of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Possession of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Production of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Child Solicitation/Enticement	<input type="text"/>
Sexual Exploitation of a Minor	<input type="text"/>
Child Trafficking	<input type="text"/>
Child Prostitution	<input type="text"/>
Furnishing Pornographic Materials to Minors	<input type="text"/>
Failure to Register as a Sex Offender	<input type="text"/>
Child Molestation	<input type="text"/>
Sexual Abuse of a Child	<input type="text"/>
Statutory Rape/Sodomy of a Child	<input type="text"/>
Other	<input type="text"/>

Forensic Examinations Breakdown - Other

If you identified a forensic examination as an "other", please identify the purpose of the forensic examination. If you did not identify a forensic examination as an "other", put N/A.

Cell Phone Analysis

Number of cell phone analyses performed during the quarter

Arrest Activity

Number of persons arrested for one or more cyber crime offenses during the quarter

Arrest Activity Breakdown

Offenses for which the above mentioned persons were arrested during the quarter. The total type of arrests should equal or exceed the total number of arrests indicated above. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for definitions of the following offenses.

Row	Total
Distribution/Receipt of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Possession of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Production of Child Pornography	<input type="text"/>
Child Solicitation/Enticement	<input type="text"/>
Sexual Exploitation of a Minor	<input type="text"/>
Child Trafficking	<input type="text"/>
Child Prostitution	<input type="text"/>
Furnishing Pornographic Materials to a Minor	<input type="text"/>
Failure to Register as a Sex Offender	<input type="text"/>
Child Molestation	<input type="text"/>
Sexual Abuse of a Child	<input type="text"/>
Statutory Rape/Sodomy of a Child	<input type="text"/>
Other	<input type="text"/>

Arrest Activity Breakdown - Other

If you identified an arrest as "other", please identify the reason for the arrest. If you did not identify an arrest as "other", put N/A.

Child Victims

Number of child victims identified during the quarter

Search Warrants/Visits

Number of search warrants applied for during the quarter

Number of search warrants authorized during the quarter

Number of search warrants served during the quarter

Number of search warrants served resulting in cyber crime seizures

Number of "knock and talks" performed during the quarter

Court Activity

Number of subpoenas served during the quarter

Computer-Crime Prevention & Education Programs/Presentations

Provide the total number provided and total number of attendees for each category listed below. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for examples of each category.

Row	Number Provided	Number of Attendees
Businesses	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
General Public	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Law Enforcement Agencies	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Schools	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Training

To complete this section, you must first save the form. Then scroll down and click the Add button.

List all trainings attended during the reporting period as a result of MJCCG grant funding. Do not mention trainings attended as a result of non-grant monies!

Course/Training Name*

of Officers Attended

Synopsis of Training

Narrative

Describe all other work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above.

Prosecution and Court Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2012/2013 fiscal year:

St. Louis City - Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigation Project: This project continues support of a domestic and sexual abuse investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney's Office. The investigator will continue to work with two primary Domestic Violence Attorneys and Victim Advocate Caseworkers. This project focuses on 1) personal service of victims by the investigator who will assure the sharing of resource information and available support thus encouraging participation and subsequently reducing the number of cases dismissed for failure to prosecute, and 2) enhanced investigation, evidence, and trial preparation for prosecution.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Number of domestic violence cases investigated by the St. Louis City Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigator and prosecuted by the Circuit Attorney Office's Domestic Violence/Sex Crimes Unit
- Rate of change in domestic violence cases prosecuted compared to a like period prior to the grant project
- Number of non-domestic violence cases investigated and prosecuted by the domestic violence team
- Number of domestic violence victims provided information of support services
- Hours expended on domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: JAG

Prevention and Education Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2012/2013 fiscal year:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station: This continuing project supports the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Services Program in responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean-up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically to reduce methamphetamine laboratory related injuries of emergency responders
- Number of injury and non-injury related laboratory incidents responded to
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically for processing and disposal of clandestine drug laboratory materials from clandestine drug laboratory collection stations
- Number of requests for on-site assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Number of on-site responses to requests for assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: JAG

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2012/2013 funding period.

Drug Treatment Programs

The following program is funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2012/2013 fiscal year:

Stone County Prosecuting Attorney - Drug / Alcohol Offender Program: This new project supports the Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's office response to offenders and multiple offenders of drug or alcohol related charges. The goal of this project is to address the gap in the current prosecution/treatment model for alcohol/drug offenders. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide a drug court to process serious drug offenders; 2) Enhance disposed drug offenders' probation through a combination of probation and parole; and 3) Provide monitoring and supervision through the prosecutor's office.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Timely implementation of Stone County drug court
- Number of drug / alcohol offenders participating in drug court
- Number of offenders successfully completing drug court
- Number of drug test given and percent of positive test results
- Number of cases monitored by prosecutor's office as part of this program
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: JAG

Planning, Evaluation, And Technology Improvement Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2012/2013 fiscal year:

Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP) - Administrative Data Analysis & Problem Identification Program: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Develop and implement Missouri's UCR data collection application and output report application; and 4) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems in supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problems and grant administration.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the project.
- Assistance provided in successful development and/or modification of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy required under the JAG and Recovery-JAG Program including, but not limited to, conducting a statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis and developing an annual grant report
- Number of research services provided to DPS, Missouri criminal justice authorities, and other public officials
- Assistance provided in development and implementation of evaluation criteria and information systems for programs supported under the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs. Publication of a report describing all approved evaluation designs
- Technical assistance provided in maintenance of UCR summary-based information system input, file maintenance, and output software
- Technical assistance provided for UCR training and report requirements, quality assurance reviews/audits, and assistance to local agencies in reporting procedures
- Number of seminars and conferences attended in support of the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: JAG

Missouri Department of Corrections - Enhancing Safety in DAI: This continued project is intended for the acquisition of UHF and VHF base stations, hand-held radios, and desktop consoles for 14 institutions to replace and improve outdated radio systems. The goals of this project include: 1) to improve institutional security, 2) ensure compliance with FCC narrowband regulations, and 3) improve public safety by allowing radio interoperability with outside law enforcement agencies. These goals will be achieved by the following objectives: 1) ensuring the department institutions have radio systems compliant with FCC mandates, 2) decreasing incidents of equipment failure, 3) decreasing dead spots in identified institutions, and 4) ensuring staff have the ability to communicate with other institutions and other law enforcement agencies.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Timely acquisition, installation, and implementation of new radio systems
- Number of incidents of equipment failure
- Number of dead spots in department institutions
- Amount and type of equipment / technology installed and training manuals provided
- Number of training hours provided to operators of the radio systems

- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

FUNDING: STATE RECOVERY-JAG

Crime Victim and Witness Programs

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2012 / 2013 funding period.

Crime Laboratory Projects

Although not funded from the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program, Missouri crime laboratories are included in this report because analysis of evidence is a key to the successful prosecution of drug offenders. In addition, data collected from crime laboratories can be an invaluable resource for analyzing Missouri's illicit drug problem. Several crime laboratories receive funding from the state-funded Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP) grant administered by the DPS - CJ/LE Program. These grants provide state-of-the-art equipment, supplies, and manpower to regional crime labs throughout the state to reduce backlogs and increase turnaround in the analysis of evidence.

A full statistical summary of Crime Laboratory accomplishments from FY12 is provided in attachment B of this report.

A listing of Missouri Crime Laboratories and their funding source follows. The anticipated achievements of crime laboratories receiving MCLUP grant funding are then described in detail.

Independence Police Department: This project supports the purchase of equipment that will be used daily in the Independence Crime Laboratory for drug and other analyses. Purchased equipment includes a Krimesite Imager Master RUVIS Kit, Black Talon Stabilizer, and training certification course. Supply items include one capillary column.

The RUVIS Kit contains both Krimesite Imager and the Cyclops Imager. This technology locates latent fingerprints without touching or altering the latent fingerprint. The Black Talon Stabilizer is used to provide the correct lens-to-subject distance for viewing and photography capture. Last the one eight-hour training certification course is an investment toward getting the most service and benefit from the Krimesite Imager RUVIS kit.

The items mentioned above will improve the Independence Missouri Crime Laboratory's ability to provide quality services to the citizens of the community and will be used for many years.

Kansas City Police Department: This project will support supplies and contractual services funding lost to severe budget shortfalls of the Kansas City Crime Laboratory.

The crime laboratory has identified several areas in personnel and supplies without a funding source that will be removed from the upcoming budget. If these areas are not funded, the productivity, efficiency and the effectiveness of the entire crime laboratory would be affected in a negative manner. For this reason, the Kansas City Crime Laboratory is seeking funding sources to supplement this deficit to maintain the current level of quality service that is provided.

Other items include memberships in professional societies that promote an exchange of information.

Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP): This project supports the purchase of new equipment, maintenance and/or consumables utilized during the analysis of evidence. The Missouri State Highway Patrol provides analysis of evidence submitted by law enforcement agencies from all areas of the state. This evidence may be examined at any one of the eight (8) laboratories operated by the Patrol. The MCLUP funds are used to purchase new equipment, maintenance and/or consumables utilized during the analysis of evidence. Missouri State Highway Patrol Crime Laboratory Division provides analysis of evidence submitted by law enforcement agencies from all areas of our state. Lastly, the grant money will be utilized to purchase a vast array of consumable lab supplies used daily by MSHP crime lab personnel (latex gloves, disposable pipettes, chemicals, reagents, culture tubes, vials, etc...) in performance of their duties.

St. Charles County Sheriff's Office: This project is a crime laboratory upgrade program for the employment of a forensic scientist for the overall existing level of forensic services provided by the St. Charles County Sheriff's Department Criminalistics Laboratory.

St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department: This project supports the employment of personnel for the drug chemistry section and purchase and upgrade of laboratory equipment that will increase the analytical capacity of the St. Louis Metropolitan Crime Laboratory. Equipment such as powered mobile shelves to house fingerprint cards is needed for the Latent unit. Also Kardex units are in need of being replaced and will be purchase with the grant money. New computer and software will be purchases to upgrade the computer systems.

The St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department also will purchase computers to replace its old computers. The crime laboratory will purchase new Kardex filing units and will upgrade video surveillance cameras both inside and on the exterior of the laboratory.

St. Louis County Police Department: This project supports the St. Louis County Crime Laboratory that provides forensic services to the over one million citizens of St. Louis County. The laboratory provides support and forensic services to the unincorporated areas of St. Louis County and to the 91 municipalities and 56 law enforcement agencies within St. Louis County. Additionally, the laboratory provides forensic services to any federal law enforcement agency conducting criminal investigations within the Eastern District of Missouri.

The MCLUP Grant funding continues to afford the St. Louis County Police Department the opportunity to enhance personnel at our Police Crime Laboratory by providing funding for one Forensic Scientist position.

Funding from this grant will also assist with the purchase of a equipment and supplies for the Chemistry and DNA Sections. This includes desktop computers, monitors, GC/MS, two stereoscopes, camera, and an EZ1 Advanced XL DNA extraction robot.

Overall, the funding from the MCLUP Grant will be used to reduce the Drug and Fire Debris case backlog and provide services currently unavailable to the Police Crime Laboratory.

The above grantees are required to submit quarterly Crime Lab Status Reports on these projects. The report format is as follows:

Crime Lab Status Report

Instructions

Unless noted otherwise, all data supplied on this quarter report should correspond to activities occurring within the reporting period.

Do not duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another agency!

Cases/Examinations

Row	Number of Cases
Number of cases in which all requested examinations were completed (sum of A, B, & C)	<input type="text"/>
A. Number of cases where no tests for illicit drugs were requested	<input type="text"/>
B. Number of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and none were identified	<input type="text"/>
C. Number of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and one or more drugs were identified	<input type="text"/>
Number of active cases pending at the end of the reporting period	<input type="text"/>

Cases - Clandestine Laboratory operation related

Identify the number of cases completed during the reporting period in which the following illicit drugs and/or precursors were detected while being produced in a Clandestine Laboratory operation.

Row	Number of Cases
Methamphetamine Final Product only	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine Precursors only	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine Precursors and Final product	<input type="text"/>
LSD	<input type="text"/>
PCP	<input type="text"/>
Other Illicit Drugs	<input type="text"/>

Cases - Not Clandestine Laboratory operation related

Identify the number of cases completed during reporting period, that were not directly related to Clandestine Lab operation production, by types of illicit drugs

Row	Number of Cases
Marijuana	<input type="text"/>
Cocaine Powder	<input type="text"/>
Crack	<input type="text"/>
Methamphetamine	<input type="text"/>
Heroin/Opiates	<input type="text"/>
LSD	<input type="text"/>
PCP	<input type="text"/>
Other Illicit Drugs	<input type="text"/>

Average Processing Time

NOTE: Processing time is from the date case was received to date it was considered completed

Of all cases completed during the reporting period where illicit drugs were suspected, what was the average processing time (in days)?

New Drugs

Were any new illicit drugs identified in the cases completed during the reporting period? Yes No

New Drugs

If you marked Yes to the above question, list the name of the new drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the new drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.

Name*

No. of cases*

Description*

Old Drugs

If yes, please list below

Did you notice any resurgence of older drugs in the cases completed during the reporting period? Yes No

Old Drugs

If you marked Yes to the above question, list the name of the older drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the new drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.

Name*

No. of cases*

Description*

Equipment

List the types of laboratory equipment acquired with grant funds during the reporting period.

Provide as much information as is available during the reporting period. If an item has been ordered but not yet received and/or installed, indicate TBA under Date Received or Date Operational. Continue to include the item in your quarterly reporting until it is fully operational.

Item*

Quantity*

Date Ordered

Date Received

Date Operational

Narrative

Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above. Provide any information that can be used by the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate your program.

Attachment A

Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces FY12 Summary Report

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER									
	QTR 1		QTR2			QTR3				
	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE				
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES
IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE
FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE										
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP										
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE										
BRIDGETON	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG										
STRIKE FORCE										
	6	0	12	12	6	0	12	12	6	0
LAKE AREA										
NARCOTICS ENF GRP										
	9	1	6	7	9	0	6	6	9	0
COMBINED OZARKS										
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-										
GREENE CO	39	2	12	14	39	2	12	14	39	1
JACKSON CO										
MULTIJUR DRUG TASK										
FORCE	14	0	18	18	14	0	17	17	14	0

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER										
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3				
	TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE				
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART
	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE											
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	4	0	5	5	4	0	5	5	4	0	
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	7	0	10	10	7	0	9	9	7	0	
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3	1	7	8	3	1	7	8	3	0	
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7	0	4	4	7	0	4	4	7	0	
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	8	5	6	11	8	5	6	11	8	5	

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER									
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3			
TOTAL LE	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE			
AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART
IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE
FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE										
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	10	0	8	8	10	0	8	8	10	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	5	5	14	0	5	5	14	5
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	13	1	5	6	2	1	5	6	21	1
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	9	0	6	6	9	0	5	5	9	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	5	0	7	7	5	0	6	6	3	0
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6	9	2

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER											
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3					
	TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE					
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL
	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE												
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	32	0	16	16	32	0	16	16	32	0		
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	12	12	7	0	12	12	7	12		
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	15	0	47	47	15	0	47	47	15	0		
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	2	0	21	21	2	0	24	24	2	0		
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	22	3	4	7	22	3	4	7	22	3		

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

	QUARTER										
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3				
	TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE				
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART
IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	
FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	
DRUG TASK FORCE											
S CENTRAL DRUG											
TASK FORCE-WEST											
PLAINS CITY	31	0	11	11	31	1	11	12	31	1	
EAST CENTRAL DRUG											
TASK FORCE											
	10	0	4	4	10	0	4	4	5	0	
MID-MO MULTIJUR											
DRUG TASK FORCE											
	7	0	6	6	7	0	6	6	7	0	
NITRO-GRUNDY TF											
	7	1	6	7	7	6	1	7	7	1	
FRANKLIN UNION TF											
	7	7	7	14	7	7	7	14	7	9	
CNET - BATES CO											
SHERIFF											
	5	3	3	6	5	3	2	5	5	2	

(Continued)

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER											
	QTR 1		QTR2		QTR3		QTR4		QTR5		QTR6	
	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE	
	AGENCIES	PART	AGENCIES	PART	AGENCIES	PART	AGENCIES	PART	AGENCIES	PART	AGENCIES	PART
	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
STATEWIDE TOTAL	305	26	255	281	294	31	248	279	306	42		

(Continued)

TABLE 2
PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE INITATED TOTAL						CASES
	CARRY-IN	NEW	ACTIVE	CARRIED	DISPOSED	OUT	
	CASES	CASES	CASES	CASES	%	FREQ	
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ	
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP							
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE							
BRIDGETON	0	531	531	531	100.0	0	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG							
STRIKE FORCE	329	536	865	632	73.1	233	
LAKE AREA							
NARCOTICS ENF GRP	446	411	857	582	67.9	275	
COMBINED OZARKS							
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-							
GREENE CO	574	498	1,072	476	44.4	596	
JACKSON CO							

MULTIJUR DRUG TASK
FORCE 4,840 123 4,963 64 1.3 4,899

JASPER CO DRUG
TASK FORCE 81 327 408 255 62.5 153

NARC. ACTIVITIES
REDCTN. COALTN.-
JEFFERSON CO 0 417 417 387 92.8 30

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES CARRIED OVER	CASES DISPOSED	%	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE							
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	203	203	203	100.0		0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	143	143	143	100.0		0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	207	488	695	519	74.7		176
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	11	279	290	281	96.9		9
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	117	193	310	104	33.5		206
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	39	187	226	68	30.1		158
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	84	238	322	123	38.2		199

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES CARRIED DISPOSED	%	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE						
	5	232	237	226	95.4	11
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP						
	97	147	244	52	21.3	192
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE						
	176	633	809	145	17.9	664
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE						
		207	661	868	733	84.4 135
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	53	1,496	1,549	1,444	93.2	105
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY						
	2	202	204	194	95.1	3
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO						
	2,518	482	3,000	251	8.4	2,749

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CARRIED OVER CASES	% DISPOSED	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST						
PLAINS CITY	1,776	358	2,134	438	20.5	1,696
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE						
	55	168	223	96	43.0	127
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	65	448	513	406	79.1	107
NITRO-GRUNDY TF						
	45	29	74	32	43.2	42
FRANKLIN UNION TF						
	268	170	438	262	59.8	176
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF						
	15	118	133	109	82.0	24
STATEWIDE TOTAL	12,010	9,718	21,728	8,756	40.3	12,965

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

MUNICIPAL ENF GRP
 AGAINST DRUG ABUSE
 BRIDGETON 321 100.0

BUCHANAN CO DRUG
 STRIKE FORCE 295 100.0

LAKE AREA
 NARCOTICS ENF GRP 420 100.0

COMBINED OZARKS
 MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-
 GREENE CO 816 100.0

JACKSON CO
 MULTIJUR DRUG TASK
 FORCE 115 100.0

JASPER CO DRUG
 TASK FORCE 272 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

NARC. ACTIVITIES
 REDCTN. COALTN.-
 JEFFERSON CO 528 100.0

KC MULTIJUR DRUG
 TASK FORCE 219 100.0

LAFAYETTE CO
 NARCOTICS UNIT 88 100.0

MID-MO UNIFIED
 STRIKE TEAM/NARC
 GRP 212 100.0

MINERAL AREA DRUG
 TASK FORCE 590 100.0

SW MO DRUG TASK
 FORCE 90 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

CLAY COUNTY DRUG
 TASK FORCE 79 100.0

NE MO NARCOTICS
 TASK FORCE 93 100.0

BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK
 FORCE 311 100.0

PLATTE CO MULTIJUR
 ENF GRP 117 100.0

SE MISSOURI DRUG
 TASK FORCE 381 100.0

ST CHARLES CO REG.
 DRUG TASK FORCE 430 100.0

STL CO MULTIJUR
 DRUG TASK FORCE 750 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

METRO MULTIJUR
 UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.
 STL CITY 219 100.0

N MO DRUG TASK
 FORCE-ADAIR CO 238 100.0

S CENTRAL DRUG
 TASK FORCE-WEST
 PLAINS CITY 108 100.0

EAST CENTRAL DRUG
 TASK FORCE 67 100.0

MID-MO MULTIJUR
 DRUG TASK FORCE 481 100.0

NITRO-GRUNDY TF 44 100.0

FRANKLIN UNION TF 378 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

CNET - BATES CO
 SHERIFF 130 100.0

STATEWIDE TOTAL 7792 100.0

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP							
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE							
BRIDGETON	330	95.1	17	4.9	347	100.0	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG							
STRIKE FORCE	249	84.7	45	15.3	294	100.0	
LAKE AREA							
NARCOTICS ENF GRP	518	71.6	205	28.4	723	100.0	
COMBINED OZARKS							
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-							
GREENE CO	370	45.3	446	54.7	816	100.0	
JACKSON CO							
MULTIJUR DRUG TASK							
FORCE	73	63.5	42	36.5	115	100.0	
JASPER CO DRUG							
TASK FORCE	98	34.8	184	65.2	282	100.0	
NARC. ACTIVITIES							
REDCTN. COALTN.-							
JEFFERSON CO	485	58.2	349	41.8	834	100.0	

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT
 CHARGES DRUG CHARGES TOTAL CHARGES

FREQ ROW % FREQ ROW % FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

MUNICIPAL ENF GRP
 AGAINST DRUG ABUSE

BRIDGETON 330 95.1 17 4.9 347 100.0

BUCHANAN CO DRUG

STRIKE FORCE 249 84.7 45 15.3 294 100.0

LAKE AREA

NARCOTICS ENF GRP 518 71.6 205 28.4 723 100.0

COMBINED OZARKS

MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-

GREENE CO 370 45.3 446 54.7 816 100.0

JACKSON CO

MULTIJUR DRUG TASK

FORCE 73 63.5 42 36.5 115 100.0

JASPER CO DRUG

TASK FORCE 98 34.8 184 65.2 282 100.0

NARC. ACTIVITIES

REDCTN. COALTN.-

JEFFERSON CO 485 58.2 349 41.8 834 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	
DRUG TASK FORCE							
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	91	29.1	222	70.9	313	100.0	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	207	90.8	21	9.2	228	100.0	
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	229	60.1	152	39.9	381	100.0	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	250	52.1	230	47.9	480	100.0	
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	581	59.9	389	40.1	970	100.0	
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	204	54.5	170	45.5	374	100.0	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	94	39.0	147	61.0	241	100.0	

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE							
S CENTRAL DRUG							
TASK FORCE-WEST							
PLAINS CITY	56	39.7	85	60.3	141	100.0	
EAST CENTRAL DRUG							
TASK FORCE	95	66.0	49	34.0	144	100.0	
MID-MO MULTIJUR							
DRUG TASK FORCE	318	66.1	163	33.9	481	100.0	
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	22	26.8	60	73.2	82	100.0	
FRANKLIN UNION TF	123	33.7	242	66.3	365	100.0	
CNET - BATES CO							
SHERIFF	76	58.5	54	41.5	130	100.0	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	5465	59.2	3769	40.8	9234	100.0	

TABLE 5
STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 7792
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	1940	21.01	1940	21.01
POSS -MARIJUANA	1633	17.68	3573	38.69
POSS -METH	1496	16.20	5069	54.89
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1033	11.19	6102	66.08
SALE -MARIJUANA	981	10.62	7083	76.71
POSS -OTHER	613	6.64	7696	83.34
POSS -HEROIN	317	3.43	8013	86.78
SALE -CRACK	276	2.99	8289	89.77
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	213	2.31	8502	92.07
SALE -HEROIN	200	2.17	8702	94.24
POSS -CRACK	174	1.88	8876	96.12
POSS -COCAINE	105	1.14	8981	97.26
SALE -COCAINE	86	0.93	9067	98.19
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	53	0.57	9120	98.77
POSS -ECSTASY	36	0.39	9156	99.16
POSS -PCP	23	0.25	9179	99.40
SALE -ECSTASY	20	0.22	9199	99.62
POSS -LSD	16	0.17	9215	99.79
SALE -LSD	13	0.14	9228	99.94
SALE -PCP	6	0.06	9234	100.00

TABLE 5
 STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 7792
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	1940	21.01	1940	21.01
POSS -MARIJUANA	1633	17.68	3573	38.69
POSS -METH	1496	16.20	5069	54.89
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1033	11.19	6102	66.08
SALE -MARIJUANA	981	10.62	7083	76.71
POSS -OTHER	613	6.64	7696	83.34
POSS -HEROIN	317	3.43	8013	86.78
SALE -CRACK	276	2.99	8289	89.77
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	213	2.31	8502	92.07
SALE -HEROIN	200	2.17	8702	94.24
POSS -CRACK	174	1.88	8876	96.12
POSS -COCAINE	105	1.14	8981	97.26
SALE -COCAINE	86	0.93	9067	98.19
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	53	0.57	9120	98.77
POSS -ECSTASY	36	0.39	9156	99.16
POSS -PCP	23	0.25	9179	99.40
SALE -ECSTASY	20	0.22	9199	99.62
POSS -LSD	16	0.17	9215	99.79
SALE -LSD	13	0.14	9228	99.94
SALE -PCP	6	0.06	9234	100.00

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER										
	QTR 1		QTR2			QTR3					
	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE					
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART
	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE											
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP											
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE											
BRIDGETON	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG											
STRIKE FORCE											
	6	0	12	12	6	0	12	12	6	0	
LAKE AREA											
NARCOTICS ENF GRP											
	9	1	6	7	9	0	6	6	9	0	
COMBINED OZARKS											
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-											
GREENE CO											
	39	2	12	14	39	2	12	14	39	1	
JACKSON CO											
MULTIJUR DRUG TASK											
FORCE											
	14	0	18	18	14	0	17	17	14	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER											
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3					
	TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE					
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL
	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE												
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	4	0	5	5	4	0	5	5	4	0		
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	7	0	10	10	7	0	9	9	7	0		
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3	1	7	8	3	1	7	8	3	0		
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7	0	4	4	7	0	4	4	7	0		
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	8	5	6	11	8	5	6	11	8	5		

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER									
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3			
TOTAL LE	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE			
AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART
IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE
FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE										
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	10	0	8	8	10	0	8	8	10	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	5	5	14	0	5	5	14	5
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	13	1	5	6	2	1	5	6	21	1
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	9	0	6	6	9	0	5	5	9	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	5	0	7	7	5	0	6	6	3	0
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6	9	2

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER											
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3					
	TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE			TOTAL LE					
	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL	AGENCIES	PART	FULL
	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	TIME LE	TOTAL LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	OFFICERS	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE												
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	32	0	16	16	32	0	16	16	32	0		
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	12	12	7	0	12	12	7	12		
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	15	0	47	47	15	0	47	47	15	0		
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	2	0	21	21	2	0	24	24	2	0		
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	22	3	4	7	22	3	4	7	22	3		

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER									
	QTR 1			QTR2			QTR3			
TOTAL LE	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE			
AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART	FULL	AGENCIES PART
IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE	TIME LE	IN TASK TIME LE
FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS	OFFICERS	FORCE OFFICERS
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE										
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	31	0	11	11	31	1	11	12	31	1
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	10	0	4	4	10	0	4	4	5	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	6	6	7	0	6	6	7	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	7	1	6	7	7	6	1	7	7	1
FRANKLIN UNION TF	7	7	7	14	7	7	7	14	7	9
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	5	3	3	6	5	3	2	5	5	2

(Continued)

TABLE 1
 INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	QUARTER									
	QTR 1		QTR2		QTR3		QTR4		QTR5	
	TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE		TOTAL LE	
	AGENCIES	PART FULL	AGENCIES	PART FULL	AGENCIES	PART FULL	AGENCIES	PART FULL	AGENCIES	PART FULL
	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE	IN TASK	TIME LE
	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS	FORCE	OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
STATEWIDE TOTAL	305	26	255	281	294	31	248	279	306	42

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CARRIED OVER CASES	% DISPOSED	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE						
BRIDGETON	0	531	531	531	100.0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE						
	329	536	865	632	73.1	233
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP						
	446	411	857	582	67.9	275
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO						
	574	498	1,072	476	44.4	596
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	4,840	123	4,963	64	1.3	4,899
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE						
	81	327	408	255	62.5	153
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO						
	0	417	417	387	92.8	30

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES CARRIED OVER	CASES DISPOSED	%	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE							
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	203	203	203	100.0		0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	143	143	143	100.0		0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	207	488	695	519	74.7		176
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	11	279	290	281	96.9		9
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	117	193	310	104	33.5		206
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	39	187	226	68	30.1		158
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	84	238	322	123	38.2		199

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES CARRIED OVER	%	CASES DISPOSED OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE						
	5	232	237	226	95.4	11
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP						
	97	147	244	52	21.3	192
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE						
	176	633	809	145	17.9	664
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE						
	207	661	868	733	84.4	135
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	53	1,496	1,549	1,444	93.2	105
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY						
	2	202	204	194	95.1	3
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO						
	2,518	482	3,000	251	8.4	2,749

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES CARRIED OVER	% DISPOSED	CASES OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST						
PLAINS CITY	1,776	358	2,134	438	20.5	1,696
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE						
	55	168	223	96	43.0	127
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	65	448	513	406	79.1	107
NITRO-GRUNDY TF						
	45	29	74	32	43.2	42
FRANKLIN UNION TF						
	268	170	438	262	59.8	176
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF						
	15	118	133	109	82.0	24
STATEWIDE TOTAL	12,010	9,718	21,728	8,756	40.3	12,965

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

MUNICIPAL ENF GRP
 AGAINST DRUG ABUSE
 BRIDGETON 321 100.0

BUCHANAN CO DRUG
 STRIKE FORCE 295 100.0

LAKE AREA
 NARCOTICS ENF GRP 420 100.0

COMBINED OZARKS
 MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-
 GREENE CO 816 100.0

JACKSON CO
 MULTIJUR DRUG TASK
 FORCE 115 100.0

JASPER CO DRUG
 TASK FORCE 272 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

NARC. ACTIVITIES
 REDCTN. COALTN.-
 JEFFERSON CO 528 100.0

KC MULTIJUR DRUG
 TASK FORCE 219 100.0

LAFAYETTE CO
 NARCOTICS UNIT 88 100.0

MID-MO UNIFIED
 STRIKE TEAM/NARC
 GRP 212 100.0

MINERAL AREA DRUG
 TASK FORCE 590 100.0

SW MO DRUG TASK
 FORCE 90 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

CLAY COUNTY DRUG
 TASK FORCE 79 100.0

NE MO NARCOTICS
 TASK FORCE 93 100.0

BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK
 FORCE 311 100.0

PLATTE CO MULTIJUR
 ENF GRP 117 100.0

SE MISSOURI DRUG
 TASK FORCE 381 100.0

ST CHARLES CO REG.
 DRUG TASK FORCE 430 100.0

STL CO MULTIJUR
 DRUG TASK FORCE 750 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

METRO MULTIJUR
 UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.
 STL CITY 219 100.0

N MO DRUG TASK
 FORCE-ADAIR CO 238 100.0

S CENTRAL DRUG
 TASK FORCE-WEST
 PLAINS CITY 108 100.0

EAST CENTRAL DRUG
 TASK FORCE 67 100.0

MID-MO MULTIJUR
 DRUG TASK FORCE 481 100.0

NITRO-GRUNDY TF 44 100.0

FRANKLIN UNION TF 378 100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 TOTAL ARRESTEES
 WITH ONE OR
 MORE DRUG
 CHARGES

FREQ ROW %

DRUG TASK FORCE

CNET - BATES CO
 SHERIFF 130 100.0

STATEWIDE TOTAL 7792 100.0

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012		POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE									
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP									
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE									
BRIDGETON	330	95.1	17	4.9	347	100.0			
BUCHANAN CO DRUG									
STRIKE FORCE	249	84.7	45	15.3	294	100.0			
LAKE AREA									
NARCOTICS ENF GRP	518	71.6	205	28.4	723	100.0			
COMBINED OZARKS									
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-									
GREENE CO	370	45.3	446	54.7	816	100.0			
JACKSON CO									
MULTIJUR DRUG TASK									
FORCE	73	63.5	42	36.5	115	100.0			
JASPER CO DRUG									
TASK FORCE	98	34.8	184	65.2	282	100.0			
NARC. ACTIVITIES									
REDCTN. COALTN.-									
JEFFERSON CO	485	58.2	349	41.8	834	100.0			

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012		POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE									
KC MULTIJUR DRUG									
TASK FORCE	208	100.0	0	0.0	208	100.0			
LAFAYETTE CO									
NARCOTICS UNIT	70	72.9	26	27.1	96	100.0			
MID-MO UNIFIED									
STRIKE TEAM/NARC									
GRP	50	25.0	150	75.0	200	100.0			
MINERAL AREA DRUG									
TASK FORCE	401	68.0	189	32.0	590	100.0			
SW MO DRUG TASK									
FORCE	45	43.7	58	56.3	103	100.0			
CLAY COUNTY DRUG									
TASK FORCE	74	69.2	33	30.8	107	100.0			
NE MO NARCOTICS									
TASK FORCE	148	78.3	41	21.7	189	100.0			

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE							
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK							
FORCE	91 29.1	222	70.9	313	100.0		
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR							
ENF GRP	207 90.8	21	9.2	228	100.0		
SE MISSOURI DRUG							
TASK FORCE	229 60.1	152	39.9	381	100.0		
ST CHARLES CO REG.							
DRUG TASK FORCE	250 52.1	230	47.9	480	100.0		
STL CO MULTIJUR							
DRUG TASK FORCE	581 59.9	389	40.1	970	100.0		
METRO MULTIJUR							
UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.							
STL CITY	204 54.5	170	45.5	374	100.0		
N MO DRUG TASK							
FORCE-ADAIR CO	94 39.0	147	61.0	241	100.0		

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012		POSSESSION DRUG SALE/MANUFCT		CHARGES		DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE									
S CENTRAL DRUG									
TASK FORCE-WEST									
PLAINS CITY	56	39.7	85	60.3	141	100.0			
EAST CENTRAL DRUG									
TASK FORCE									
	95	66.0	49	34.0	144	100.0			
MID-MO MULTIJUR									
DRUG TASK FORCE									
	318	66.1	163	33.9	481	100.0			
NITRO-GRUNDY TF									
	22	26.8	60	73.2	82	100.0			
FRANKLIN UNION TF									
	123	33.7	242	66.3	365	100.0			
CNET - BATES CO									
SHERIFF									
	76	58.5	54	41.5	130	100.0			
STATEWIDE TOTAL									
	5465	59.2	3769	40.8	9234	100.0			

TABLE 5
STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 7792
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	1940	21.01	1940	21.01
POSS -MARIJUANA	1633	17.68	3573	38.69
POSS -METH	1496	16.20	5069	54.89
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1033	11.19	6102	66.08
SALE -MARIJUANA	981	10.62	7083	76.71
POSS -OTHER	613	6.64	7696	83.34
POSS -HEROIN	317	3.43	8013	86.78
SALE -CRACK	276	2.99	8289	89.77
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	213	2.31	8502	92.07
SALE -HEROIN	200	2.17	8702	94.24
POSS -CRACK	174	1.88	8876	96.12
POSS -COCAINE	105	1.14	8981	97.26
SALE -COCAINE	86	0.93	9067	98.19
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	53	0.57	9120	98.77
POSS -ECSTASY	36	0.39	9156	99.16
POSS -PCP	23	0.25	9179	99.40
SALE -ECSTASY	20	0.22	9199	99.62
POSS -LSD	16	0.17	9215	99.79
SALE -LSD	13	0.14	9228	99.94
SALE -PCP	6	0.06	9234	100.00

TABLE 6
 N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 321
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	92	26.51	92	26.51
POSS -HEROIN	73	21.04	165	47.55
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	59	17.00	224	64.55
POSS -CRACK	47	13.54	271	78.10
POSS -OTHER	23	6.63	294	84.73
POSS -METH	18	5.19	312	89.91
SALE -METH	12	3.46	324	93.37
POSS -COCAINE	9	2.59	333	95.97
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	5	1.44	338	97.41
POSS -ECSTASY	3	0.86	341	98.27
SALE -MARIJUANA	3	0.86	344	99.14
SALE -HEROIN	2	0.58	346	99.71
POSS -LSD	1	0.29	347	100.00

TABLE 7
 BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 295
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	109	37.07	109	37.07
POSS -MARIJUANA	53	18.03	162	55.10
SALE -METH	38	12.93	200	68.03
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	30	10.20	230	78.23
POSS -OTHER	21	7.14	251	85.37
POSS -HEROIN	20	6.80	271	92.18
POSS -COCAINE	9	3.06	280	95.24
POSS -CRACK	9	3.06	289	98.30
POSS -ECSTASY	3	1.02	292	99.32
SALE -CRACK	2	0.68	294	100.00

TABLE 8
 LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 420
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	204	28.22	204	28.22
POSS -METH	132	18.26	336	46.47
SALE -METH	130	17.98	466	64.45
POSS -OTHER	100	13.83	566	78.28
POSS -MARIJUANA	81	11.20	647	89.49
SALE -MARIJUANA	49	6.78	696	96.27
POSS -HEROIN	12	1.66	708	97.93
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	10	1.38	718	99.31
SALE -HEROIN	2	0.28	720	99.59
SALE -PCP	2	0.28	722	99.86
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.14	723	100.00

TABLE 9
 COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 816
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	205	25.12	205	25.12
POSS -MARIJUANA	116	14.22	321	39.34
POSS -OTHER	113	13.85	434	53.19
SALE -MARIJUANA	112	13.73	546	66.91
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	105	12.87	651	79.78
POSS -METH	89	10.91	740	90.69
SALE -HEROIN	26	3.19	766	93.87
POSS -HEROIN	11	1.35	777	95.22
POSS -LSD	9	1.10	786	96.32
SALE -COCAINE	9	1.10	795	97.43
POSS -ECSTASY	7	0.86	802	98.28
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	4	0.49	806	98.77
SALE -ECSTASY	3	0.37	809	99.14
SALE -LSD	3	0.37	812	99.51
POSS -COCAINE	2	0.25	814	99.75
SALE -CRACK	2	0.25	816	100.00

TABLE 10
 JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 115
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	36	31.30	36	31.30
POSS -METH	30	26.09	66	57.39
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	20	17.39	86	74.78
POSS -MARIJUANA	19	16.52	105	91.30
SALE -MARIJUANA	5	4.35	110	95.65
POSS -CRACK	2	1.74	112	97.39
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.87	113	98.26
POSS -PCP	1	0.87	114	99.13
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.87	115	100.00

TABLE 11
 JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 272
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	119	42.20	119	42.20
POSS -METH	56	19.86	175	62.06
SALE -MARIJUANA	34	12.06	209	74.11
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	33	11.70	242	85.82
SALE -CRACK	20	7.09	262	92.91
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	8	2.84	270	95.74
POSS -MARIJUANA	5	1.77	275	97.52
POSS -CRACK	4	1.42	279	98.94
SALE -HEROIN	2	0.71	281	99.65
POSS -OTHER	1	0.35	282	100.00

TABLE 12
 NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 528
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	279	33.45	279	33.45
POSS -METH	174	20.86	453	54.32
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	121	14.51	574	68.82
POSS -MARIJUANA	96	11.51	670	80.34
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	49	5.88	719	86.21
SALE -MARIJUANA	45	5.40	764	91.61
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	21	2.52	785	94.12
POSS -HEROIN	19	2.28	804	96.40
SALE -HEROIN	18	2.16	822	98.56
POSS -OTHER	6	0.72	828	99.28
POSS -COCAINE	4	0.48	832	99.76
SALE -COCAINE	2	0.24	834	100.00

TABLE 13
 KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 219
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	155	74.52	155	74.52
POSS -METH	27	12.98	182	87.50
POSS -COCAINE	13	6.25	195	93.75
POSS -OTHER	5	2.40	200	96.15
POSS -PCP	3	1.44	203	97.60
POSS -HEROIN	2	0.96	205	98.56
POSS -CRACK	1	0.48	206	99.04
POSS -ECSTASY	1	0.48	207	99.52
POSS -LSD	1	0.48	208	100.00

TABLE 14
LAFAYETTE CO NARC UNIT
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 88
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	33	34.38	33	34.38
POSS -MARIJUANA	19	19.79	52	54.17
SALE -METH	17	17.71	69	71.88
POSS -CRACK	9	9.38	78	81.25
POSS -OTHER	8	8.33	86	89.58
SALE -MARIJUANA	4	4.17	90	93.75
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	2	2.08	92	95.83
POSS -COCAINE	2	2.08	94	97.92
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1	1.04	95	98.96
SALE -COCAINE	1	1.04	96	100.00

TABLE 15
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 212
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	70	35.00	70	35.00
SALE -CRACK	29	14.50	99	49.50
SALE -METH	23	11.50	122	61.00
POSS -MARIJUANA	20	10.00	142	71.00
SALE -HEROIN	17	8.50	159	79.50
POSS -OTHER	16	8.00	175	87.50
POSS -CRACK	6	3.00	181	90.50
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	5	2.50	186	93.00
POSS -METH	4	2.00	190	95.00
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	3	1.50	193	96.50
SALE -COCAINE	3	1.50	196	98.00
POSS -HEROIN	2	1.00	198	99.00
SALE -ECSTASY	1	0.50	199	99.50
SALE -LSD	1	0.50	200	100.00

TABLE 16
 MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 590
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	158	26.78	158	26.78
SALE -METH	154	26.10	312	52.88
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	135	22.88	447	75.76
POSS -MARIJUANA	58	9.83	505	85.59
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	31	5.25	536	90.85
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	21	3.56	557	94.41
SALE -MARIJUANA	18	3.05	575	97.46
POSS -OTHER	6	1.02	581	98.47
POSS -HEROIN	3	0.51	584	98.98
POSS -COCAINE	2	0.34	586	99.32
SALE -COCAINE	2	0.34	588	99.66
SALE -CRACK	2	0.34	590	100.00

TABLE 17
 SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 90
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	41	39.81	41	39.81
POSS -METH	25	24.27	66	64.08
SALE -MARIJUANA	13	12.62	79	76.70
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	8	7.77	87	84.47
POSS -MARIJUANA	7	6.80	94	91.26
POSS -OTHER	5	4.85	99	96.12
SALE -ECSTASY	2	1.94	101	98.06
POSS -HEROIN	1	0.97	102	99.03
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1	0.97	103	100.00

TABLE 18
 CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 79
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	34	31.78	34	31.78
POSS -MARIJUANA	21	19.63	55	51.40
SALE -MARIJUANA	21	19.63	76	71.03
POSS -OTHER	11	10.28	87	81.31
SALE -HEROIN	7	6.54	94	87.85
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	5	4.67	99	92.52
SALE -METH	3	2.80	102	95.33
POSS -COCAINE	2	1.87	104	97.20
POSS -HEROIN	2	1.87	106	99.07
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.93	107	100.00

TABLE 19
 NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 93
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	63	33.33	63	33.33
POSS -METH	36	19.05	99	52.38
POSS -MARIJUANA	33	17.46	132	69.84
SALE -METH	33	17.46	165	87.30
POSS -HEROIN	6	3.17	171	90.48
POSS -OTHER	6	3.17	177	93.65
SALE -MARIJUANA	5	2.65	182	96.30
POSS -CRACK	4	2.12	186	98.41
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.53	187	98.94
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	1	0.53	188	99.47
SALE -CRACK	1	0.53	189	100.00

TABLE 20
 BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 311
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	68	21.73	68	21.73
SALE -COCAINE	46	14.70	114	36.42
POSS -OTHER	42	13.42	156	49.84
SALE -CRACK	42	13.42	198	63.26
SALE -MARIJUANA	38	12.14	236	75.40
POSS -MARIJUANA	23	7.35	259	82.75
POSS -METH	23	7.35	282	90.10
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	13	4.15	295	94.25
POSS -COCAINE	6	1.92	301	96.17
POSS -CRACK	6	1.92	307	98.08
SALE -ECSTASY	6	1.92	313	100.00

TABLE 21
 PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 117
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	80	35.09	80	35.09
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	69	30.26	149	65.35
POSS -OTHER	30	13.16	179	78.51
POSS -PCP	19	8.33	198	86.84
SALE -MARIJUANA	13	5.70	211	92.54
POSS -METH	11	4.82	222	97.37
SALE -METH	3	1.32	225	98.68
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.44	226	99.12
POSS -CRACK	1	0.44	227	99.56
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.44	228	100.00

TABLE 22
 SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 381
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	131	34.38	131	34.38
POSS -METH	87	22.83	218	57.22
POSS -MARIJUANA	67	17.59	285	74.80
POSS -OTHER	21	5.51	306	80.31
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	14	3.67	320	83.99
POSS -COCAINE	13	3.41	333	87.40
SALE -MARIJUANA	12	3.15	345	90.55
POSS -HEROIN	11	2.89	356	93.44
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	9	2.36	365	95.80
POSS -CRACK	6	1.57	371	97.38
SALE -CRACK	4	1.05	375	98.43
POSS -ECSTASY	2	0.52	377	98.95
SALE -COCAINE	2	0.52	379	99.48
POSS -LSD	1	0.26	380	99.74
SALE -HEROIN	1	0.26	381	100.00

TABLE 23
 ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 430
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	133	27.71	133	27.71
POSS -MARIJUANA	76	15.83	209	43.54
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	50	10.42	259	53.96
POSS -HEROIN	34	7.08	293	61.04
SALE -HEROIN	33	6.88	326	67.92
POSS -OTHER	30	6.25	356	74.17
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	28	5.83	384	80.00
POSS -METH	23	4.79	407	84.79
SALE -METH	16	3.33	423	88.13
POSS -CRACK	15	3.13	438	91.25
SALE -CRACK	12	2.50	450	93.75
SALE -COCAINE	9	1.88	459	95.63
POSS -ECSTASY	7	1.46	466	97.08
POSS -COCAINE	6	1.25	472	98.33
POSS -LSD	3	0.63	475	98.96
SALE -ECSTASY	3	0.63	478	99.58
SALE -LSD	2	0.42	480	100.00

TABLE 24
 STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 750
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	310	31.96	310	31.96
SALE -MARIJUANA	168	17.32	478	49.28
SALE -METH	107	11.03	585	60.31
POSS -HEROIN	66	6.80	651	67.11
SALE -CRACK	51	5.26	702	72.37
POSS -CRACK	50	5.15	752	77.53
SALE -HEROIN	47	4.85	799	82.37
POSS -OTHER	38	3.92	837	86.29
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	38	3.92	875	90.21
POSS -METH	37	3.81	912	94.02
POSS -COCAINE	24	2.47	936	96.49
POSS -ECSTASY	8	0.82	944	97.32
SALE -LSD	7	0.72	951	98.04
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	6	0.62	957	98.66
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	5	0.52	962	99.18
SALE -PCP	4	0.41	966	99.59
SALE -COCAINE	2	0.21	968	99.79
SALE -ECSTASY	2	0.21	970	100.00

TABLE 25
 METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 219
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	91	24.33	91	24.33
POSS -MARIJUANA	75	20.05	166	44.39
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	49	13.10	215	57.49
SALE -CRACK	38	10.16	253	67.65
POSS -OTHER	34	9.09	287	76.74
POSS -HEROIN	33	8.82	320	85.56
SALE -HEROIN	32	8.56	352	94.12
POSS -CRACK	9	2.41	361	96.52
POSS -COCAINE	5	1.34	366	97.86
POSS -ECSTASY	4	1.07	370	98.93
SALE -METH	2	0.53	372	99.47
POSS -METH	1	0.27	373	99.73
SALE -ECSTASY	1	0.27	374	100.00

TABLE 26
 N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 238
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -CRACK	72	29.88	72	29.88
SALE -METH	37	15.35	109	45.23
POSS -MARIJUANA	34	14.11	143	59.34
POSS -METH	27	11.20	170	70.54
SALE -MARIJUANA	24	9.96	194	80.50
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	21	8.71	215	89.21
POSS -OTHER	13	5.39	228	94.61
SALE -COCAINE	5	2.07	233	96.68
POSS -CRACK	3	1.24	236	97.93
POSS -COCAINE	2	0.83	238	98.76
SALE -HEROIN	2	0.83	240	99.59
POSS -HEROIN	1	0.41	241	100.00

TABLE 27
 S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 108
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	67	47.52	67	47.52
POSS -METH	33	23.40	100	70.92
POSS -OTHER	13	9.22	113	80.14
POSS -MARIJUANA	9	6.38	122	86.52
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	9	6.38	131	92.91
SALE -HEROIN	4	2.84	135	95.74
SALE -MARIJUANA	4	2.84	139	98.58
SALE -ECSTASY	2	1.42	141	100.00

TABLE 28
 E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 67
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	30	20.83	30	20.83
POSS -MARIJUANA	25	17.36	55	38.19
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	24	16.67	79	54.86
SALE -METH	24	16.67	103	71.53
SALE -MARIJUANA	20	13.89	123	85.42
POSS -OTHER	10	6.94	133	92.36
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	3	2.08	136	94.44
POSS -HEROIN	3	2.08	139	96.53
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	2	1.39	141	97.92
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.69	142	98.61
POSS -CRACK	1	0.69	143	99.31
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.69	144	100.00

TABLE 29
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 481
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	185	38.46	185	38.46
SALE -METH	97	20.17	282	58.63
POSS -MARIJUANA	96	19.96	378	78.59
SALE -MARIJUANA	52	10.81	430	89.40
POSS -OTHER	31	6.44	461	95.84
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	7	1.46	468	97.30
POSS -HEROIN	5	1.04	473	98.34
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	5	1.04	478	99.38
SALE -HEROIN	2	0.42	480	99.79
POSS -LSD	1	0.21	481	100.00

TABLE 30
 NITRO-GRUNDY TASK FORCE
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 44
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	54	65.85	54	65.85
POSS -METH	9	10.98	63	76.83
POSS -OTHER	5	6.10	68	82.93
SALE -HEROIN	4	4.88	72	87.80
POSS -MARIJUANA	3	3.66	75	91.46
POSS -COCAINE	2	2.44	77	93.90
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	2	2.44	79	96.34
SALE -MARIJUANA	2	2.44	81	98.78
POSS -ECSTASY	1	1.22	82	100.00

TABLE 31
FRANKLIN UNION DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 378
QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	219	60.00	219	60.00
POSS -METH	76	20.82	295	80.82
POSS -MARIJUANA	20	5.48	315	86.30
SALE -MARIJUANA	18	4.93	333	91.23
POSS -OTHER	16	4.38	349	95.62
POSS -HEROIN	13	3.56	362	99.18
POSS -CRACK	1	0.27	363	99.45
SALE -CRACK	1	0.27	364	99.73
SALE -HEROIN	1	0.27	365	100.00

TABLE 32
 CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 130
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2012

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	40	30.77	40	30.77
POSS -METH	29	22.31	69	53.08
SALE -MARIJUANA	27	20.77	96	73.85
SALE -METH	25	19.23	121	93.08
POSS -OTHER	9	6.92	130	100.00

TABLE 33
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.
DRUG	DRUG	FREE	VALUE OF	REVERSE	TOTAL VALUE	VALUE OF	VALUE OF	VALUE OF
BUYS	BUYS	SAMPLES	DRUGS BOUGHT	DRUGS BOUGHT	OF BUYS	FREE SAMPLES	FREE SAMPLES	FREE SAMPLES
Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE								
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE								
BRIDGETON	9	0	0	\$1,240	0	\$1,240	0	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE								
	218	0	0	\$13,677	0	\$13,677	0	
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP								
	107	0	1	\$13,980	0	\$13,980	\$20	
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO								
	151	0	0	\$14,769	0	\$14,769	0	
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								
	139	0	0	\$169,225	0	\$169,225	0	
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE								
	61	0	0	\$24,000	0	\$24,000	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 33
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	NO. NO. DRUG BUYS	REVERSE NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
NARC. ACTIVITIES							
REDCTN. COALTN.-							
JEFFERSON CO	30	2	0	\$2,840	\$50	\$2,890	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG							
TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO							
NARCOTICS UNIT	12	0	0	\$1,395	0	\$1,395	0
MID-MO UNIFIED							
STRIKE TEAM/NARC							
GRP	271	1	0	\$41,669	\$20,000	\$61,669	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG							
TASK FORCE	12	0	0	\$1,030	0	\$1,030	0
SW MO DRUG TASK							
FORCE	6	0	0	\$540	0	\$540	0

(Continued)

TABLE 33
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	NO. NO. DRUG BUYS	REVERSE NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE BOUGHT	VALUE OF OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE								
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	94	0	0	\$22,472	0	\$22,472	0	
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	48	2	1	\$3,460	\$390	\$3,850	\$15	
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	180	0	0	\$26,429	0	\$26,429	0	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	4	0	0	\$1,431	0	\$1,431	0	
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	357	0	0	\$39,595	0	\$39,595	0	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	263	0	0	\$34,134	0	\$34,134	\$8,240	
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	203	7	0	\$38,940	\$1,700	\$40,640	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 33
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	NO. NO. DRUG BUYS	REVERSE NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.							
STL CITY	168	0	0	\$9,902	0	\$9,902	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO							
	35	0	1	\$2,782	0	\$2,782	\$50
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY							
	146	0	2	\$21,661	0	\$21,661	\$53
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE							
	80	0	0	\$12,107	0	\$12,107	0
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF							
	37	0	0	\$60,730	0	\$60,730	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF							
	5	0	1	\$6,050	0	\$6,050	\$100

(Continued)

TABLE 33
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	NO. NO. REVERSE	NO. NO. FREE	NO. NO. FREE	VALUE OF VALUE OF	REVERSE REVERSE	TOTAL VALUE TOTAL VALUE	VALUE OF VALUE OF
DRUG BUY	DRUG BUY	SAMPLES	DRUGS BOUGHT	DRUGS BOUGHT	DRUGS BOUGHT	OF BUY	FREE SAMPLES
Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	23	0	0	\$1,615	0	\$1,615	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2659	12	6	\$565,673	\$22,140	\$587,813	\$8,478

TABLE 34
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	DOLLARS	
	NO. ACTIVE	EXPENDED ON
	INFORMANTS	INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP		
AGAINST DRUG ABUSE		
BRIDGETON	0	\$0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG		
STRIKE FORCE		
	45	\$10,088
LAKE AREA		
NARCOTICS ENF GRP		
	55	\$10,939
COMBINED OZARKS		
MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-		
GREENE CO		
	24	\$1,685
JACKSON CO		
MULTIJUR DRUG TASK		
FORCE		
	29	\$4,697
JASPER CO DRUG		
TASK FORCE		
	21	\$11,300
NARC. ACTIVITIES		
REDCTN. COALTN.-		
JEFFERSON CO		
	0	\$0

(Continued)

TABLE 34
 NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	DOLLARS	
	NO. ACTIVE	EXPENDED ON
	INFORMANTS	INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	1	\$200
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	\$0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	26	\$7,699
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	1	\$200
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	4	\$2,631
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	5	\$475

(Continued)

TABLE 34
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	DOLLARS	
	NO. ACTIVE	EXPENDED ON
	INFORMANTS	INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	27	\$2,440
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	\$0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	107	\$7,900
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	17	\$1,935
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	176	\$12,650
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	17	\$6,615
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	4	\$290

(Continued)

TABLE 34
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	DOLLARS	
	NO. ACTIVE	EXPENDED ON
	INFORMANTS	INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	28	\$5,767
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	22	\$1,864
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	1	\$2,000
FRANKLIN UNION TF	6	\$2,900
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	9	\$775
STATEWIDE TOTAL	625	\$95,050

TABLE 35
 OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	PSUEDO- /EPHED- OUS	ANHYDR- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	AMMONIA	DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE													
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE													
BRIDGETON	0.31	0	0.02	0	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE													
	28.09	9.03	6.12	1.66	0.13	0	0	2.02	0	0	0	4.76	
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP													
	104.19	0	1.37	0.32	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-													
GREENE CO	51.47	0.45	0	1.43	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.88	
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE													
	32.38	16.80	13.22	103.92	4.57	0	4.32	0	0	0	0	34.28	
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE													
	11.00	0.14	1.25	2.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.28	
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-													
JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0.35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	

(Continued)

TABLE 35
 OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	PSUEDO- ANHYDR- /EPHED- OUS OTHER										
MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	AMMONIA	DRUGS	
OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	1.52	0	0.07	0.57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.17
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	25.29	1.16	7.28	5.07	2.05	0	0	0	0	0	0.07
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0.17	0	0.01	0.09	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0.21
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0.19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	18.96	0	0	5.60	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.32
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	21.74	0.25	0.02	0.25	0.20	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 35
 OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	PSUEDO- ANHYDR- /EPHED- OUS OTHER										
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	AMMONIA	DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	6.86	53.86	6.03	1.41	1.25	0	0	0	0	0	0.01
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	0.94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	47.09	2.93	9.46	3.42	0.35	0	0	0	0	0	1.41
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	39.52	1.07	4.44	0.32	3.43	0	0	0.16	0	0	0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	61.48	0.03	0.99	0.06	11.56	0	0	0	0	0	0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	12.25	0	2.57	0.02	2.03	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.38
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	15.51	0	0.07	0.05	0	0	0	0.10	0	0	0.12

(Continued)

TABLE 35
 OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	PSUEDO- ANHYDR- /EPHED- OUS OTHER											
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	AMMONIA	DRUGS	
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
S CENTRAL DRUG												
TASK FORCE-WEST												
PLAINS CITY	19.76	0.02	0	5.83	0.03	0	0	0	0.20	0	1.74	
EAST CENTRAL DRUG												
TASK FORCE												
	4.90	4.86	0.41	0.19	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MID-MO MULTIJUR												
DRUG TASK FORCE												
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	11.85	1.49	3.11	34.67	0	0	1.69	1.00	0	0	0	
FRANKLIN UNION TF	1.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	211.62	0	0	
CNET - BATES CO												
SHERIFF												
	8.25	0	0	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	524.28	93.03	56.44	167.68	26.30	0	6.01	3.31	211.82	0	48.89	

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUGS
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	33	0	0	0	122
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	320
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	65	10	136	0	0	0	0	187
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUGS
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	1	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	152
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER											A*	DRUGS
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE				
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE													
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	93	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	333
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	215
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	74
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	564	38	0	8	0	0	0	0	605

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER											A*	DRUGS	
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	DOSES	DOSES			
DRUG TASK FORCE														
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	0	0	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	625		
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	192			
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUGS
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1	0	0	0	988	48	139	41	145	5		3195

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 37
 PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ARREST MADE	CONSENT SEARCHES	%	
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE BRIDGETON						
	5	5	5	5	100.0	44
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE						
	36	36	36	36	100.0	68
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP						
	87	87	87	87	100.0	109
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO						
	111	111	111	111	100.0	123
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	36	36	36	34	94.4	59
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE						
	83	83	75	74	98.7	37
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO						
	45	45	43	39	90.7	178

(Continued)

TABLE 37
 PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS SERVED AUTHORIZED	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ARREST MADE	CONSENT SEARCHES	
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	70	70	70	68	97.1	1370
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	25	25	25	22	88.0	20
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	29	29	29	29	100.0	12
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	16	16	16	16	100.0	133
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	17	17	17	17	100.0	77
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	12	12	11	9	81.8	7
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	24	24	23	23	100.0	76

(Continued)

TABLE 37
 PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ ARREST MADE	CONSENT SEARCHES			
FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ		
DRUG TASK FORCE							
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	29	29	29	29	100.0	162	
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	13	12	12	12	100.0	46	
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	120	121	120	105	87.5	128	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	85	85	83	83	100.0	154	
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	49	48	43	34	79.1	324	
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	44	44	44	34	77.3	3	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	58	58	58	47	81.0	21	

(Continued)

TABLE 37
 PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS WARRANTS AUTHORIZED	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ARREST MADE	CONSENT SEARCHES
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY						
	37	37	37	30	81.1	28
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE						
	32	32	32	31	96.9	27
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
	72	72	72	72	100.0	121
NITRO-GRUNDY TF						
	23	22	22	9	40.9	30
FRANKLIN UNION TF						
	38	38	38	28	73.7	55
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF						
	14	14	14	14	100.0	68
STATEWIDE TOTAL						
	1210	1208	1188	1098	92.4	3480

TABLE 38
 ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	OUNCES	OUNCES					
	OUNCES	CULT	SINS	PLANTS	PLANTS		
	WILD MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	PLANTS WILD MARIJUANA	CULT MARIJUANA	SINS MARIJUANA	
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE							
BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	128	0	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE							
	320000.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP							
	0	0	0	3	190	0	
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO							
	0	0	0	0	122	0	
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE							
	0	0.38	0	0	44	0	
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 38
 ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	OUNCES		OUNCES		PLANTS		PLANTS	
	OUNCES	CULT	SINS		PLANTS	WILD	CULT	SINS
	WILD MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
NARC. ACTIVITIES								
REDCTN. COALTN.-								
JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	5	0		
KC MULTIJUR DRUG								
TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	20	39		
LAFAYETTE CO								
NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MID-MO UNIFIED								
STRIKE TEAM/NARC								
GRP	0	0	0	0	2	0		
MINERAL AREA DRUG								
TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	809	0		
SW MO DRUG TASK								
FORCE	85.44	3.52	0	0	93	0		

(Continued)

TABLE 38
 ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	OUNCES		OUNCES		PLANTS		PLANTS	
	OUNCES	CULT	SINS	PLANTS	WILD	CULT	SINS	
	WILD MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	60	56	0		
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	7	0		
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	5,006	0		
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	11	68	0		
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	32	0	0		
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	32.48	3124.48	0	0	100	10	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 38
 ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	OUNCES		OUNCES		PLANTS		PLANTS	
	OUNCES	CULT	SINS	PLANTS	WILD	CULT	SINS	
	WILD MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA ANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA	
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE								
METRO MULTI JUR								
UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.								
STL CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N MO DRUG TASK								
FORCE-ADAIR CO		0	0	0	0	531	0	
S CENTRAL DRUG								
TASK FORCE-WEST								
PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	0	43	0		
EAST CENTRAL DRUG								
TASK FORCE								
	0	0	0	1	26	0		
MID-MO MULTI JUR								
DRUG TASK FORCE								
	0	0	0	0	3,401	0		
NITRO-GRUNDY TF								
	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FRANKLIN UNION TF								
	0	0	0	5	125	0		

(Continued)

TABLE 38
 ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	OUNCES		OUNCES		PLANTS		PLANTS	
	WILD MARIJUANA	CULT ANA	SINS ANA	PLANTS MARIJUANA	WILD MARIJUANA	CULT MARIJUANA	SINS MARIJUANA	MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	11.00	0	0	0	2,286	0		
STATEWIDE TOTAL	320128.92	3128.38	0	0	212	12,972	39	

TABLE 39
 DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 METH LABS	
TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE	
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE BRIDGETON	20
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	4
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	108
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	108
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	1
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	76
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	295

(Continued)

TABLE 39
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 METH
LABS

TOTAL

DRUG TASK FORCE

KC MULTIJUR DRUG
TASK FORCE 0

LAFAYETTE CO
NARCOTICS UNIT 3

MID-MO UNIFIED
STRIKE TEAM/NARC
GRP 13

MINERAL AREA DRUG
TASK FORCE 176

SW MO DRUG TASK
FORCE 86

CLAY COUNTY DRUG
TASK FORCE 2

NE MO NARCOTICS
TASK FORCE 93

(Continued)

TABLE 39
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 METH LABS	
TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE	
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	13
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	1
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	121
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	150
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	84
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	38
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	45

(Continued)

TABLE 39
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012 METH LABS	
TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE	
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	62
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	43
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	48
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	3
FRANKLIN UNION TF	111
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	5
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1709

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	PSUEDO- /EPHED-	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	RINE OUNCES
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE											
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE											
BRIDGETON		\$412,125	1,817.20	13.36	4.78	39.88	23.41	16.00	0	0	2.02
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE											
		\$721,140	144.53	1.22	2.34	8.27	0.59	0	0	0.91	1.76
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP											
		\$374,130	430.16	0.27	0.01	118.36	0.16	0	0	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO											
		\$2,172,110	29,030.34	0.66	1.00	56.36	0.17	0.17	0	0.64	0
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE											
		\$5,747,604	2,812.77	396.05	3.34	32219.3	0	0	0.98	0	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE											
		\$95,500	647.81	0.73	3.21	11.87	0	0	0	0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	PSUEDO- /EPHED-		METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	RINE OUNCES
					TOTAL	TOTAL						
DRUG TASK FORCE												
NARC. ACTIVITIES												
REDCTN. COALTN.-												
JEFFERSON CO	\$209,390	2,262.79	0.87	0	10.76	1.02	0	0	0	0	11.24	
KC MULTIJUR DRUG												
TASK FORCE	\$30,582,042	70,904.97	1341.29	0	3925.82	205.74	0	193.72	0	0		
LAFAYETTE CO												
NARCOTICS UNIT	\$107,777	689.34	1.69	0	6.60	0	0	0	0	0		
MID-MO UNIFIED												
STRIKE TEAM/NARC												
GRP	\$420,650	552.49	1.16	3.47	85.29	1.24	0.03	0	0.04	7.05		
MINERAL AREA DRUG												
TASK FORCE	\$227,320	266.82	0.11	0	204.72	0	0	0	0	13.81		
SW MO DRUG TASK												
FORCE	\$186,956	116.16	0	0	0.95	0	0	0	0	0		

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	PSUEDO- /EPHED-									
		MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	RINE OUNCES	TOTAL
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE											
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	\$159,839	107.41	0.07	3.57	0.73	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	\$26,890	11.16	0.03	0.03	2.48	0.10	0	0	0	0	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	\$19,690	199.06	18.05	0.89	3.14	0	0	0	0	0.75	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	\$142,951	1,140.15	3.23	1.53	6.32	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	\$2,557,989	878.01	708.27	3.81	16.94	0.50	0	0	0.04	2.05	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	\$2,866,033	15,087.74	181.36	4.70	54.44	1.63	7.00	0	0.35	0	
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$1,339,520	51,866.66	1190.67	13.90	138.12	12.37	0	300.00	16.27	2.64	

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012												
	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	PSUEDO- /EPHED- METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	RINE OUNCES		
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE												
METRO MULTI JUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.												
STL CITY	\$298,859	932.71	0.74	7.93	5.82	7.69	0	0	0	0		
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO												
	\$157,600	2,560.92	0.91	0.28	5.12	0	4.00	0	0	0		
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY												
	\$171,584	130.94	0	0	83.54	0.08	0	0	0	0.04		
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE												
	\$115,590	1,644.77	0	0.05	1.15	0.17	0	0	0	0.35		
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE												
	\$4,618,000	2,887.87	0	0	225.00	0.20	0.21	0	0	0		
NITRO-GRUNDY TF												
	\$90,700	2,401.29	0	0	10.61	0	0	0	0	0		
FRANKLIN UNION TF												
	\$440,106	347.56	705.43	0	9.71	0.31	0	0	0	7.46		

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012													
	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	PSUEDO- /EPHED- METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	RINE OUNCES			
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL			
DRUG TASK FORCE													
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	\$381,264	730.11	0	0	43.52	0	0	0	0	0			
STATEWIDE TOTAL	\$54,643,359	190,601.74	4566.17	54.84	37294.8	255.38	27.41	494.70	18.25	49.17			

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- OUS OTHER AMM. DRUGS OUNCES	OUNCES
TOTAL	TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE		
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE		
BRIDGETON	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE		
	0	27.91
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP		
	0	5.04
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO		
	0	130.38
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE		
	0	10.58
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE		
	0	160.00

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- OUS OTHER AMM. DRUGS OUNCES	OUNCES
TOTAL	TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE		
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-		
JEFFERSON CO	4368.00	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE		
	0	3422.38
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT		
	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP		
	0	17.26
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE		
	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE		
	0	0.08

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- OUS OTHER AMM. DRUGS OUNCES OUNCES	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE			
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	31.90	
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	29.79	
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	1.44	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	3.53	
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	19.38	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	437.00	
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	327.75	

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- OUS OTHER AMM. DRUGS OUNCES	OUNCES	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE			
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.			
STL CITY	0	19.14	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO			
	0	0	
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY			
	0	1792.82	
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE			
	0	2.00	
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE			
	1280.00	14.63	
NITRO-GRUNDY TF			
	0	0	
FRANKLIN UNION TF			
	0	161.05	

(Continued)

TABLE 40
 OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- OUS OTHER AMM. DRUGS OUNCES OUNCES	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE			
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0 0		
STATEWIDE TOTAL	5648.00	6614.06	

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUG
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	315	0	0	8	290	0	358	
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	2	0	0	103	0	0	11	0	0	677	
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	496	0	694	
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	200	439	3	6	541	0	2863	
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	262	0	23	

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUG
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
NARC. ACTIVITIES												
REDCTN. COALTN.-												
JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	176	0	0	8	1394	0	3900	
KC MULTIJUR DRUG												
TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1293	20	0	268	
LAFAYETTE CO												
NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	
MID-MO UNIFIED												
STRIKE TEAM/NARC												
GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	
MINERAL AREA DRUG												
TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	113	
SW MO DRUG TASK												
FORCE	0	0	0	0	1004	0	0	50	108	0	3667	

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	A*	DRUG
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE												
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	613
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1663
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	14	6	0	1	0	0	0	15674
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	915	14	0	25	0	1	0	234

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER											A*	DRUG
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	DOSES	DOSES		
DRUG TASK FORCE													
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	24	0	54	
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	0	0	0	0	488	0	0	1022	0	0	0	523	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	508	
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1074	0	0	149	
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	257	
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	216	0	0	0	65	0	0	123	

(Continued)

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2012	ANHYDR- PSUEDO- OUS /EPHED- AMMONI- OTHER											A*	DRUG	
	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	RINE	DOSES	DOSES			
DRUG TASK FORCE														
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	432
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	1	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	593
STATEWIDE TOTAL	0	3	0	0	3508	461	3	2461	4474	15	33539			

*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

Attachment B

Criminal Laboratories State-Funded MCLUP Projects FY12 Summary Report

TABLE 1
 COMPLETION STATUS OF CASES DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012 (Cumulative Totals) QTRS 1- 4	CASES COMPLETED		TOTAL ACTIVE		CASES	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY						
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1081	85.9	178	14.1	1259	100.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	1844	77.8	525	22.2	2369	100.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	1961	75.6	632	24.4	2593	100.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1601	87.1	237	12.9	1838	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	62714	72.9	23311	27.1	86025	100.0

TABLE 2
 DRUG TEST STATUS OF CASE EXAMINATIONS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	DRUG TESTS NOT COMPLETED		DRUGS NOT IDENTIFIED		DRUGS IDENTIFIED			
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %		
CRIME LABORATORY								
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	8277	100.0	6648	80.3	46	0.6	1583	19.1
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB								
	7758	100.0	4532	58.4	234	3.0	2945	38.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB								
	11947	100.0	7650	64.0	214	1.8	4083	34.2
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	9307	100.0	5585	60.0	290	3.1	3432	36.9
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB								
	1551	100.0	130	8.4	148	9.5	1273	82.1
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB								
	2332	100.0	10	0.4	177	7.6	2185	93.7
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB								
	7914	100.0	4079	51.5	385	4.9	3450	43.6

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 DRUG TEST STATUS OF CASE EXAMINATIONS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	DRUG TESTS NOT COMPLETED EXAMS		DRUGS NOT REQUESTED		DRUGS IDENTIFIED		DRUGS IDENTIFIED	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY								
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	2506	100.0	783	31.2	137	5.5	1586	63.3
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	4635	100.0	2584	55.7	155	3.3	1896	40.9
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1081	100.0	0	0.0	96	8.9	985	91.1
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	1844	100.0	504	27.3	142	7.7	1198	65.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY								
CRIME LAB	1961	100.0	509	26.0	127	6.5	1325	67.6
INDEPENDENCE REG.								
CRIME LAB	1601	100.0	741	46.3	16	1.0	844	52.7

(Continued)

TABLE 2
 DRUG TEST STATUS OF CASE EXAMINATIONS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY	DRUG TESTS NOT		DRUGS NOT		DRUGS			
2012	COMPLETED EXAMS	REQUESTED	IDENTIFIED	IDENTIFIED	IDENTIFIED	IDENTIFIED		
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %		
STATEWIDE TOTAL	62714	100.0	33755	53.8	2167	3.5	26785	42.7

TABLE 3
 DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
 CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	METH FINAL		METH PRODUCT &				OTHER		CLAN		LAB
	PRODUCT	METH PRECURSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	LSD	PCP			
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES
CRIME LABORATORY											
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	1	0.2	1	1.2	6	1.6	0	*	0	0.0	0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	30	6.7	14	17.1	15	4.0	0	*	1	100.0	0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	82	18.3	18	22.0	81	21.7	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	3	0.7	1	1.2	17	4.5	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	146	32.7	15	18.3	82	21.9	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	55	12.3	8	9.8	57	15.2	0	*	0	0.0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
 CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	METH FINAL		METH PRODUCT &				OTHER		CLAN		LAB
	PRODUCT	METH PRECURSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	PRECUSORS	LSD	PCP			
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES
CRIME LABORATORY											
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	33	7.4	8	9.8	59	15.8	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	33	7.4	8	9.8	10	2.7	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	29	6.5	6	7.3	14	3.7	0	*	0	0.0	0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	*	0	0.0	0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	34	7.6	1	1.2	30	8.0	0	*	0	0.0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
 CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	METH FINAL		METH PRODUCT &		OTHER		CLAN		LAB		
	PRODUCT	METH PRECURSORS	PRODUCT &	PRECURSORS	PRECURSORS	LSD	PCP				
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES		
CRIME LABORATORY											
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1	0.2	2	2.4	2	0.5	0	*	0	0.0	1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	447	100.0	82	100.0	374	100.0	0	*	1	100.0	1

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
 CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	OTHER CLAN LAB	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY		
KCPD LAB RESPONSE		0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME		
LAB		0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO		
POLICE LAB		0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP B		
SATELLITE LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP C		
SATELLITE LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP D		
SATELLITE LAB		0.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
 DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
 CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	OTHER CLAN LAB	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY		
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB		0.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB		0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB		0.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY OTHER
2012 CLAN
LAB

COL %

CRIME LABORATORY

INDEPENDENCE REG.
CRIME LAB 100.0

STATEWIDE TOTAL 100.0

TABLE 4
 DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	MARIJUANA		COCAINE		CRACK		METH		HEROIN/OPIATE		LSD		PCP	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY														
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	425	4.3	158	15.5	480	19.3	382	6.5	80	1.3	0	0.0	113	
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME														
LAB	1067	10.7	80	7.8	268	10.8	138	2.4	610	10.0	19	34.5	6	
ST. LOUIS METRO														
POLICE LAB	1694	16.9	140	13.7	901	36.3	67	1.1	1613	26.5	0	0.0	41	
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	1227	12.3	159	15.6	174	7.0	1089	18.6	725	11.9	7	12.7	8	
MSHP TROOP B														
SATELLITE LAB	639	6.4	95	9.3	106	4.3	242	4.1	202	3.3	2	3.6	6	
MSHP TROOP C														
SATELLITE LAB	732	7.3	41	4.0	26	1.0	400	6.8	706	11.6	6	10.9	0	
MSHP TROOP D														
SATELLITE LAB	1061	10.6	62	6.1	82	3.3	1537	26.2	725	11.9	0	0.0	0	
MSHP TROOP D														
CARTHAGE LAB	620	6.2	33	3.2	45	1.8	559	9.5	243	4.0	1	1.8	2	

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN/OPIATE	LSD	PCP						
CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %		
CRIME LABORATORY													
MSHP TROOP E													
SATELLITE LAB	906	9.1	101	9.9	243	9.8	353	6.0	285	4.7	2	3.6	0
MSHP TROOP G													
SATELLITE LAB	465	4.7	7	0.7	3	0.1	161	2.7	260	4.3	6	10.9	0
MSHP TROOP H													
SATELLITE LAB	471	4.7	50	4.9	59	2.4	389	6.6	209	3.4	0	0.0	8
ST. CHARLES COUNTY													
CRIME LAB	533	5.3	58	5.7	52	2.1	78	1.3	385	6.3	11	20.0	2
INDEPENDENCE REG.													
CRIME LAB	156	1.6	36	3.5	44	1.8	464	7.9	54	0.9	1	1.8	5
STATEWIDE TOTAL	9996	100.0	1020	100.0	2483	100.0	5859	100.0	6097	100.0	55	100.0	191

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	PCP COL %	CASES	OTHER DRUGS COL %
CRIME LABORATORY			
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	59.2	286	2.1
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	3.1	788	5.7
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	21.5	8699	63.1
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	4.2	694	5.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	3.1	242	1.8
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0.0	512	3.7
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0.0	824	6.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	1.0	251	1.8

(Continued)

TABLE 4
 DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	PCP	OTHER DRUGS	
	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY			
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	0.0	292	2.1
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	0.0	327	2.4
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	4.2	194	1.4
ST. CHARLES COUNTY			
CRIME LAB	1.0	562	4.1
INDEPENDENCE REG.			
CRIME LAB	2.6	124	0.9
STATEWIDE TOTAL	100.0	13795	100.0

TABLE 5
 AVERAGE DRUG CASE PROCESSING TIME BY CRIME LABORATORY
 QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012
 STATEWIDE AVERAGE IS WEIGHTED BY
 THE NUMBER OF CASES OF EACH LABORATORY

LABID	AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME - DAYS
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	67.3
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	257.7
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	7.3
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	130.6
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	119.9
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	144.8
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	54.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	59.9
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	160.2
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	106.6
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	134.5
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	124.3
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	54.5

TABLE 5
 AVERAGE DRUG CASE PROCESSING TIME BY CRIME LABORATORY
 QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012
 STATEWIDE AVERAGE IS WEIGHTED BY
 THE NUMBER OF CASES OF EACH LABORATORY

LABID	AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME - DAYS
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	114.7

TABLE 6
 IDENTIFICATION OF NEW ILLICIT DRUGS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	TOTAL NEW ILLICIT DRUG CASES	TOTAL	COL %
LABID			
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	9	14.8	
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	12	19.7	
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0	
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	0	0.0	
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	

(Continued)

TABLE 6
 IDENTIFICATION OF NEW ILLICIT DRUGS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012 TOTAL NEW
 ILLICIT DRUG
 CASES

TOTAL COL %

LABID

MSHP TROOP D
CARTHAGE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP E
SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP G
SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP H
SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

ST. CHARLES COUNTY
CRIME LAB 29 47.5

INDEPENDENCE REG.
CRIME LAB 11 18.0

(Continued)

TABLE 6
 IDENTIFICATION OF NEW ILLICIT DRUGS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	TOTAL NEW ILLICIT DRUG CASES	TOTAL	COL %
		61	100.0

TABLE 7
 IDENTIFICATION OF RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUGS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2012	TOTAL RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUG CASES	TOTAL	COL %
LABID			
KCPD LAB RESPONSE		0	0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB		0	0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB		0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB		0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB		0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB		0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB		0	0.0

(Continued)

TABLE 7

IDENTIFICATION OF RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUGS
 BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY TOTAL RESURGENT
 2012 ILLICIT DRUG
 CASES

TOTAL COL %

LABID

MSHP TROOP D
 CARTHAGE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP E
 SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP G
 SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

MSHP TROOP H
 SATELLITE LAB 0 0.0

ST. CHARLES COUNTY
 CRIME LAB 48 100.0

INDEPENDENCE REG.
 CRIME LAB 0 0.0

(Continued)

TABLE 7
IDENTIFICATION OF RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUGS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY TOTAL RESURGENT
2012 ILLICIT DRUG
CASES


TOTAL COL %

STATEWIDE TOTAL 48 100.0


Attachment C

Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces FY12 Summary Report


Agencies and Officers Assigned to Internet Cyber Crime Grant Programs By Quarter FY2012




Cases and Investigations Of Internet Cyber Crime Grant Programs By Status and Quarter FY 2012




Number of Participating Agencies Per Internet Cyber Crime Task Force By Quarter FY 2012




Cases and Investigations Of Internet Cyber Crime Grant Programs By Status FY 2012




**Number Of Persons Arrested By Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
FY 2012**




**Case Activity Performed By Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
By Activity Type
FY 2012**




**Offenses Associated With Arrests Made By
Internet Cyber Crime Grant Programs
FY 2012**




**Offenses Associated With Forensic Exams
FY 2012**




**Search Warrant Activity Of Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
FY 2012**




**Subpoenas Served By Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
FY 2012**



**Warrant Seizures Made By Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
FY 2012**



**Computer Crime Prevention Programs & Presentations
Made By Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
By Program Type
FY 2012**



Attendees To Computer Prevention Programs & Presentations Made By
 Internet Cyber Crime Task Forces
 By Program Type
 FY 2012

